Original

		1
1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
2	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
3		
4	SAMUEL A. PERRONI,)	
5	PLAINTIFF,)) CASE NO.	
6	VS.) 21STCP00108	
7	ALEX VILLANUEVA,	
8	DEFENDANT.)	
9	/	
10		
11		
12	ZOOM DEPOSITION OF LOUIS DANOFF	
13	VOLUME 1	
14	FRIDAY, MARCH 26, 2021	
15	2:03 p.m.	
16		
17	MONTEBELLO, CALIFORNIA	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	REPORTED BY: KAREN VILICICH,	
25	CSR NO. 7634 JOB NO. 162330	

1 **APPEARANCES:** 2 3 FOR PLAINTIFF: QUATTLEBAUM, GROOMS & TULL 4 BY: BRANDON B. CATE, ESQ. 5 VINCENT O. CHADICK, ESQ. 4100 Corporate Center Drive, Suite 310 Springdale, Arkansas 72762 6 479.444.5200 7 bcate@qqtlaw.com 8 9 FOR DEFENDANT: 10 POLLAK, VIDA & BARER BY: ANNA BIRENBAUM, ESQ. 11 11500 West Olympic Boulevard, Suite 400 Los Angeles, California 90064 12 310.551.3400 alb@pvandf.com 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Original

	Originat	3	3
1	INDEX		
2	WITNESS: LOUIS DANOFF		
3	EXAMINATION BY	PAGE	
4	BY MR. CATE	5	
5			
6			
7	EXHIBITS		
8	EXHIBIT	PAGE	
9	1 E-mail Exchange	22	
10			
11			
12	INFORMATION REQUESTED		
13	PAGE LINE		
14	58 15		
15			
16			
17 18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

4

1 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; 2 FRIDAY, MARCH 26, 2021, 2:03 p.m. 3 THE STENOGRAPHIC REPORTER: Do you solemnly swear 4 5 that the testimony you may give in the following 6 deposition shall be the truth, the whole truth, and 7 nothing but the truth, so help you God? 8 THE WITNESS: Yes. 9 MS. BIRENBAUM: Mr. Cate, before we get started, I 10 would just like to make an objection on the record and 11 let you move forward. We are going to object to the 12 recording of this Zoom transcript. We are not going to 13 stop the deposition and are going to let you proceed, but 14 we do object to it. We object to any efforts to use it 15 in court as it does not meet the requirements for 16 admissibility under the evidence code and the 17 requirements for a certified videographer. 18 MR. CATE: We understand the objection and if it 19 does turn out that that is what the state of the rules 20 are in California, we won't do anything to try to play 21 this at a court proceeding. 22 23 LOUIS DANOFF, 24 having been previously remotely duly sworn, was examined 25 and testified as follows:

Coalition Court Reporters | 213.471.2966 | www.ccrola.com

1	EXAMINATION
2	
3	BY MR. CATE:
4	Q I will now start, go into the deposition
5	itself.
6	Mr. Danoff, my name is Brandon Cate. I am
7	an attorney with the Arkansas law firm of Quattlebaum,
8	Grooms & Tull. My partner, Vince Chadick, is on the Zoom
9	as well. Our firm is proud to represent Sam Perroni, the
10	plaintiff in this lawsuit, that we have filed against the
11	Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department. Mr. Perroni,
12	you cannot see him, but he is observing the Zoom in a
13	different room here at our law firm.
14	Mr. Perroni, has filed a lawsuit under the
15	California Public Records Act and this is a deposition in
16	that lawsuit in which I will ask you questions under
17	oath. You must answer the questions unless your attorney
18	instructs you not to answer a particular question.
19	Although no judge is present, this is a
20	formal legal proceeding, just like testifying in court.
21	We have a court reporter here, Karen
22	Vilicich, taking down everything that is said during this
23	deposition, just as if we were in a courtroom. And as we
24	just noted, we are also making a Zoom recording of this
25	for our own records.

Coalition Court Reporters | 213.471.2966 | www.ccrola.com

1 So let's get started. Would you state your 2 name for the record, please. Louis Danoff. D-a-n-o-f-f. 3 Α Do you still reside at 301 Avenida De La 4 0 5 Merced in Montebello, California? 6 Α Yes. 7 Q Do you reside anywhere else? 8 Α No. Have you ever testified in a deposition? 9 0 10 Α No. 11 Let me give you some rules or ground rules 0 12 that will help this go more smoothly. Because we have a 13 court reporter here taking down everything that we say, 14 that is said in this Zoom, it's important that we not 15 talk over One another. If you give me a chance to finish 16 my questions, I will do my best to give you an 17 opportunity to finish your answers. 18 Α Okay. 19 Ο "Uh-huhs" and "huh-huhs" don't take very well on paper. So if you say "uh-huh," I may ask you a 20 21 follow-up, "Is that a yes or a no?" 22 Α That's a yes. 23 If you don't understand a question, please Ο 24 let me know that. I'm happy to rephrase or repeat the 25 question, whatever the case may be.

7

1	Is that all agreeable?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Are you taking any medication or anything
4	else that would inhibit your ability to recall events?
5	A No.
6	Q Are you taking any medication or anything
7	else that would inhibit your ability to answer
8	truthfully?
9	A No.
10	Q Do you have any medical condition that would
11	inhibit your ability to recall events?
12	A No.
13	Q And do you have any medical condition that
14	would inhibit your ability to answer truthfully?
15	A No.
16	Q If you need to take a break for any reason,
17	let me know. This is not an endurance contest. The only
18	thing I ask is if there is a question on the table, I
19	would ask you to answer that before we go into a break.
20	A Okay.
21	Q And one last thing, I will tell you a brief
22	anecdote, I was in a trial recently and an individual
23	that I had deposed for a number of hours showed up at
24	trial and said he didn't understand anything I had asked
25	him in the deposition because English is not his first

Coalition Court Reporters | 213.471.2966 | www.ccrola.com

language. And this was a farmer, he lived his entire 1 2 life in Arkansas. So I asked him, what is his first language. 3 He said it is Pennsylvania Dutch. 4 5 So because of that experience, I now have 6 added a question to my deposition grounds rules: Is 7 English your first language? 8 Α Yes. 9 Is English the language spoken in your home? 0 10 Α Yes. 11 0 Thank you for that. 12 You gave a sworn declaration last summer in 13 a prior lawsuit Mr. Perroni filed. As you may recall 14 from giving that declaration, our side considers you a witness because of the book author named Suzanne Finstad 15 16 identified you in a book as being a person who gave her 17 and her mother access to the quote, unquote murder book 18 concerning the sheriff's department investigation into 19 the death of actress Natalie Wood. We will get into the 20 details of the murder book in a little bit, but when I 21 use the term "murder book," do you have an understanding 22 of what I am talking about? 23 Α Yes. 24 Ο What is that understanding of what a murder 25 book is at the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department?

Г

1	A A murder book is a collection of the
2	investigation reports, photographs, autopsy reports,
3	supplemental attachments that enhance your presentation.
4	Basically it's a collection of materials that you would
5	use if you were going to go forward with a prosecution.
6	Q Thank you for that.
7	A It is basically a grouping of this is a
8	grouping of materials that you are using to basically
9	identify the case. There might be more papers, there
10	might be more items, but that would not be a part of your
11	murder book. That would be on your that would be in
12	your poor boy or your case file, which would be open to
13	discovery.
14	Q I think I read somewhere that a poor boy is
15	what you all call the manila folders?
16	A The poor boy, yes, it's a brown manila
17	folder, accordion-type, that opens up. They got the long
18	size or the short size, whatever they have on hand that
19	you grab to put your initial case materials in. So when
20	you start a case, you grab a poor boy and you put the
21	file number on it and your victim's name and all the
22	important information on the front. And then you start
23	moving that around when you work your case, that's where
24	you put all your papers. Basically you are collecting
25	your papers from that poor boy that you are eventually

1	going to put into or copies of which you are going to
2	put into your murder book.
3	Q Thank you.
4	We will get into some more details about the
5	murder book in a moment.
6	I first want to mention, Natalie Wood's
7	married name was Natalie Wagner. Would you agree that
8	any of my questions that reference Ms. Wood is also a
9	reference to the person Natalie Wagner?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Ms. Wood died in 1981 so some of my
12	questions will ask you about how things were done at the
13	sheriff's department in 1981. Ms. Finstad wrote in her
14	book that she met with you in November of in November,
15	but doesn't indicate the year. It doesn't expressly
16	state the year. We are surmising that she was making a
17	reference to November 2000, could have been November,
18	1999. In any event, some of my questions relate to how
19	things were done at the sheriff's department around the
20	year 1999 or 2000. So I just wanted to alert you to that
21	before we get very far into this.
22	A Okay.
23	Q With that background in place, let me ask
24	you some questions about what you did, if anything, to
25	prepare for today's deposition.

Coalition Court Reporters | 213.471.2966 | www.ccrola.com

1	First of all, did you do anything to prepare
2	for this deposition?
3	A I reread what my reread the document that
4	I read for the first court appearance for this case.
5	Q Okay. And I know you
6	A I am reaching up to it's I forgot the
7	name I forgot the word to use, but I made a
8	declaration. That's what it was, I reviewed my
9	declaration.
10	I'm sorry, I don't speak as clearly I
11	don't catch the big words like I did before when I was
12	younger.
13	Q You are doing great.
14	A So sometimes so there is going to be a
15	lot of handshaking here.
16	Q You are doing great. Take all the time you
17	need to answer however you want to answer.
18	A Okay.
19	Q Beyond reading your prior declaration, did
20	you do anything else to prepare for the deposition?
21	A There was a I do have a copy of an e-mail
22	that I had sent to Mr. Perroni in response to his e-mail
23	to original e-mail to me in which he had sent some
24	questions and I basically tried to answer them.
25	Q And I have a copy of that as well. We will

1	talk about that here in a moment.
2	Besides the declaration and a copy of that
3	e-mail, did you do anything else to prepare for today's
4	deposition?
5	A I contacted the homicide bureau to advise
6	them that I had received a subpoena and they put me in
7	touch with County Counsel. And County Counsel, you know,
8	Anna, basically responded as my representative for County
9	Counsel.
10	Q And I know you are represented by counsel,
11	and I don't want to hear anything about communications
12	between you and your counsel. Okay?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Other than your counsel, did you talk to
15	anyone else in preparation for this deposition?
16	A No.
17	Q You made a reference to homicide bureau and
18	I assume that's a reference to the homicide bureau at the
19	sheriff's department?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Did you gather any documents, other than the
22	ones we've already discussed, to prepare for today's
23	deposition?
24	A No.
25	Q Do you keep a file at home regarding

1 Ms. Wood's death investigation? 2 I don't have any file of any of that Α 3 investigation. I have never seen that file. Let's talk a little bit about your 4 0 5 employment background. I hear you have had a very 6 celebrated career. I want to say you worked for the 7 sheriff's department for almost 35 years? 8 Α Yes. 9 And I read somewhere that you worked there Ο from 1966 until 2001; is that correct? 10 11 Α As an active deputy, yes. 12 Q Is it true you were an active homicide 13 investigator for about 23 years; is that right? 14 Α Yes. 15 Among other things, you worked on a gang 0 homicide team for a number of years; correct? 16 17 Α Yes. 18 0 And then after you retired in 2001, you 19 continued in a reserve capacity for about six years; is 20 that correct? 21 Α Yes. Yes. 22 And then you came back a second time in 2009 0 23 and worked as some sort of civilian reserve; is that 24 correct? 25 А No, I was not on the reserve when I came

1	back in 2009, I was a civilian, but I came back to handle	
2	a case as the active in-court investigating officer on	
3	a I guess it was a on a Vietnamese case that I got	
4	and I worked on when I was working Unsolved.	
5	Q What was your position in 1981? Were you a	
6	homicide investigator at that time?	
7	A Yes.	
8	Q What about the year 1999 or 2000, what was	
9	your position around those years?	
10	A I was working the unsolved team.	
11	Q I didn't understand that. I'm sorry.	
12	A In the last years that you mentioned, I was	
13	working on the unsolved team. It was there was about	
14	five of us, four or five of us that were assigned to the	
15	unsolved team. And I was one of them.	
16	Q Okay.	
17	A These are all guys that had been around for	
18	a long time.	
19	Q Okay. At some point, I think you were an	
20	instructor at the California Department of Justice's	
21	Homicide School; is that true?	
22	A Yes.	
23	Q Do you remember what years that would have	
24	been?	
25	A I did that I cannot remember I don't	

1	
1	remember the year, but I was already working the unsolved
2	team when they did it. L.A.P.D. and L.A.S.D. homicides
3	joined together to put together this presentation for the
4	Department of Justice and I participated in that. I did
5	it for a couple of years into my retirement and then I
6	said I had enough.
7	Q And you may have to help me with this next
8	question, but you worked as is the term "librarian" at
9	the sheriff's department?
10	A Yes.
11	Q About when were you the librarian?
12	A I believe in I can't remember the dates,
13	but it's in my declaration. But I was working on the
14	gang team, we had a gang team that formed up for 10
15	years, I was one of the OGs, one of the original
16	investigators. In fact, I was the only
17	non-Spanish-speaking investigator from homicide that
18	volunteered for this.
19	They took two of us, me and my partner, Bill
20	McComas, and we basically set up a library system in
21	which we basically built the shelves and came up with a
22	system of recording the cases and basically had three
23	rooms at the old Hall of Justice on the ninth floor, in
24	which we put our library.
25	The reason why we did this is prior to that,

Coalition Court Reporters | 213.471.2966 | www.ccrola.com

1	
1	there was no system. The investigators all kept their
2	own files. The only thing that was on file in the bureau
3	was the case memo. There might be a there might be a
4	copy of the each individual report in the secretarial
5	file and there was a copy of the murder book, if one was
6	done, that was kept. And it was filed either as an
7	unsolved case or as a solved case. That was the only
8	if you picked up this thing prior to the library and you
9	did not know the investigators, you would have a tough
10	time putting everything together.
11	So with the with us putting this library
12	into getting it going and then having the guys trust
13	us, we started getting all the cases brought in. We
14	categorized them in an ABC-type, three-by-five card
15	system.
16	Q How many of there were you all working as
17	librarians?
18	A Well, when McComas and I first started out,
19	there were both of us, then we had some help and some
20	outside help setting up the shelves and all that stuff,
21	but we arranged all that stuff. Normally then it came
22	down to me, and then after a short time when it was in
23	place, McComas and I went back to our original unit, we
24	were assigned to the gang team, and we went back to the
25	gang team. And two other investigators, Gunther and

Coalition Court Reporters | 213.471.2966 | www.ccrola.com

1	Munoz, two old-timers, they took over the job and one or
2	the other of them maintained the library. And I
3	basically didn't have any contact with the library other
4	than to turn my poor boys in.
5	Q I did not catch those last two names. Could
б	you repeat those names?
7	A Yes, it's Gunther and Munoz, $M-u-n-o-z$.
8	Once again, those two names are not
9	mentioned in my discovery, G-u-n-t-h-e-r. Gunther.
10	Q So if somebody wanted to check out a murder
11	book from the library, it would be one of those two guys?
12	A No. No. If somebody wanted to check out a
13	library book, let's say an investigator needs it, for
14	whatever reason, the office needs it, they would fill out
15	a 8-by-11 card. They would put down the library number,
16	which they got from our index. They would put down the
17	name and the date. They would hand it in in Gunther's
18	case or Munoz's case, they would give it to those guys,
19	they would have a pickup point that they would drop it
20	off. Those guys would go to the library, they will pull
21	that case, and put it on the requesting investigator's
22	desk.
23	The reverse process worked when they were
24	returning it. They would put it in the same place, the
25	pickup point, where we picked up their request, they

Г

1	would put the poor boy back there, and then it would be
2	refiled on the shelf. And when we refile it, that eight-
3	by-11 card that we had put in its place, will be taken
4	out of the space and the file would go back in.
5	So we had a way of tracing who had it. We
6	traced it basically by an empty file space. If it was
7	full it, it was there, if it wasn't full, there was a
8	card there.
9	Q So would you keep the same card so if
10	somebody else checked out the same file?
11	A You know what, whoever checked out that same
12	file, it wouldn't be the same, no. You picked up a
13	random three-by-five card, it was just a name, you put
14	your name on, whoever was ahead of you was crossed off.
15	It's the bottom names that I am looking at to pull the
16	file. So I did not keep track of how many people went in
17	to look at it, I just was concerned about which file you
18	wanted and my ability to track it down, if I had to.
19	Q This would have been true of the 1999-2000
20	time frame?
21	A Yes. And
22	Q I am sorry, I did not catch that.
23	A I said yes. Because there was your
24	question you asked me about when I was librarian, I told
25	you I was the librarian at the very beginning, then I

1	went back to the team in I cannot remember the date
2	that I went to the unsolved team. But anyways, McComas
3	eventually matriculated to the unsolved team. And he,
4	when he went to the unsolved team, he became the
5	librarian. Shortly thereafter, I went over to the
6	unsolved team when Bill retired. I became the librarian
7	again. So I took over from whenever I went to the
8	unsolved team, you know, to my retirement, or just before
9	my retirement as being the the librarian.
10	So I picked it up I was the originator.
11	Then I picked it up later in my career when I was on the
12	unsolved team. I took it back.
13	Q Thank you for that explanation.
14	I think you retired in March of 2001?
15	A Yes.
16	Q So you would have been the librarian up
17	until about March 2001?
18	A Yes. There is one other point I will add on
19	that is that towards the end of my you know, just
20	prior to my Lynn Reader was assigned to be librarian
21	to replace me. And there was an overlap period that Lynn
22	was also a librarian, but basically, I was conducting
23	most of the librarian functions. And Lynn's job, which
24	once again is in my write-up, Lynn's job was to convert
25	the library system let me back up.

1 Homicide -- our record-keeping had evolved 2 tremendously over the 20 years. And we now had a 3 computer system and a lot of -- everything was more computerized. My ABC system, which lasted for 20 years, 4 5 was -- we were running out of space and it was time for a 6 change. Lynn basically went into the new system, 7 assigned each case a new number, and basically made the 8 conversion to new numbers for the system that I had 9 established, which was terrific for us going into the 10 future. 11 0 Got you. 12 And is Lynn a -- is Lynn Reader, is that a male or a female? 13 14 He's a male. Α 15 0 Did you ever work on the investigation 16 itself into the death of Ms. Wood? 17 Α No. 18 Ο Do you recall ever handling any paperwork 19 regarding the death of Ms. Wood? 20 MS. BIRENBAUM: Vague and ambiguous. You can answer still. 21 22 THE WITNESS: I can answer? 23 MS. BIRENBAUM: You can answer. I am just making 24 an objection for the record. 25 THE WITNESS: No.

1 I will give you this, the only thing that I 2 might have done is I might have put that case on the file 3 and filed it in the library. But I really have -- I never looked at it. I have no knowledge of doing that, 4 5 but it's a possibility that I could have. I never saw 6 any paperwork or anything. I never saw that file. 7 BY MR. CATE: 8 And I know I am asking about events that 0 9 happened a long time ago and I am just asking to the best 10 of your recollection. 11 I take it that the investigation into 12 Ms. Wood's death would have garnered some attention in 13 the sheriff's department as the death of any celebrity 14 probably would have. Did you observe that to be true? 15 MS. BIRENBAUM: It calls for speculation, but you 16 can answer. 17 THE WITNESS: You mean if this is something that 18 became talk within the bureau that we talked about? BY MR. CATE: 19 20 Q Yes. 21 Α That we inquired about? 22 Q Yes. 23 At the beginning, everybody knew --Α 24 everybody knew who the -- who it was, what it was, and 25 the basic facts about the case, but -- so we -- we talked

1	about it, but I never talked about it with any of the
2	investigators. I have never read any of the reports. I
3	never pursued looking at any of the papers. I never saw
4	the file.
5	Q Do you have a copy of your e-mail exchange
6	with Mr. Perroni handy?
7	A Yes, I do.
8	Q It's an e-mail exchange from April of 2020
9	and let's mark this as Exhibit 1 for the record.
10	First of all, the e-mail address on here, is
11	your e-mail address, "ldanoff@charter.net"?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Would that have been your e-mail address in
14	April of 2020?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Do these two pages reflect an e-mail
17	exchange that you had with Mr. Perroni?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Let's talk through some of what you wrote
20	here. First of all, your e-mail refers to the L.A.S.D.,
21	I assume that's a reference to the Los Angeles County
22	Sheriff's Department?
23	A Yes.
24	Q All right. Towards the bottom of page 1,
25	I'm looking at the paragraph that starts with "L.A.S.D.

1	Homicide Bu	reau."
2		Do you see that?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Then you wrote, "L.A.S.D. Homicide Bureau
5	handles all	death investigations, murder, suicides,
6	suspicious o	circumstances, workplace, and accidental
7	deaths."	
8		Is that a truthful statement as far as you
9	know?	
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	Would that have been true in 1981?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	Is your knowledge in making that statement
14	based on you	ir time working for the sheriff's department?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	The next paragraph you wrote, "Every murder
17	case is supp	posed to be booked with reports that detail
18	the investig	gation to the point where the investigation is
19	handled to d	conclusion or to the last point wherein it was
20	actively inv	vestigated."
21		Is that a truthful statement as far as you
22	know?	
23	A	That's a truthful statement, but there is a
24	reality poir	nt to that also. Not every murder not
25	every murder	r is booked because that's just human error

Coalition Court Reporters | 213.471.2966 | www.ccrola.com

L

1 and time. But if it's going to be presented, if that 2 case is going to be presented, we do -- there will be a 3 murder book. That would have been true in 1981 as well? 4 0 5 А Yes. 6 Q Do you know if the investigation into 7 Ms. Wood's death had a murder book? I do not -- I do not know for sure. 8 Α I do 9 not know personally, but I would assume that it does. 10 Later in that same paragraph, you wrote, "Of Ο 11 course, over the years, due to a variety of reasons, not 12 every murder investigated has a murder book on file, but 13 all of the reports generated by a homicide investigator 14 is kept in a separate file maintained by the secretaries 15 in the bureau and every murder and officer-involved 16 call-out has a memo that is maintained by the 17 administrative sergeant of the bureau." 18 Is that also a truthful statement as far as 19 you know? 20 Α Yes. Would that have been true in 1981? 21 Q 22 Α Yes. 23 At the bottom of that first page, that last 0 24 paragraph, you wrote, "The murder book was originally 25 called a blue book due to the blue cover that is used to

Coalition Court Reporters | 213.471.2966 | www.ccrola.com

1	contain the information. In the late 70s, 80s, and 90s,
2	the L.A. County homicide rate exploded and we started to
3	call any investigation that was booked for presentation a
4	murder book out of convenience.
5	"Yes, you are right, there are a lot of
6	investigations that are not murders, but if we book it,
7	it is still called a murder book."
8	Is that a true statement as far as you know?
9	A Yes.
10	MS. BIRENBAUM: I am going to object. It is an
11	incomplete reading of the sentence.
12	MR. CATE: I will finish reading it.
13	Q The last sentence says, "Yes, you are right.
14	There are a lot of investigations that are not murders,
15	but if we book it, it is still called a murder book or
16	shooting book for officer-involved incidents, even if
17	they do not involve a gun."
18	Is that also a true statement as far as you
19	know?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Would all of that have been true in 1981?
22	A Yes.
23	Q On the next page, you list out what is
24	contained in a murder book. Do you see that at the first
25	full paragraph on the second page?

1 Α Yes. 2 0 Let's take that paragraph one item at a 3 time. You first wrote, "A murder book consists of 4 5 reports by the handling investigators who detail the 6 steps taken in the investigation to support a filing of 7 the charge. The filing -- to support a filing of 8 charges." 9 Is that a true statement as far as you know? 10 Α Yes. 11 Would that have been true in 1981? 0 12 Α Yes. 13 Q You next list, "Attachments: All supporting 14 reports relating to the investigation, maps, drawings, 15 search warrants, transcripts, et cetera." 16 Is that a true statement as far as you know? 17 Α Yes. 18 0 Would that have been true in 1981? 19 Α Yes. 20 You next list, "Suspect Information: Q Personal information, photo, criminal history, booking 21 22 slip, filing information, and arrest warrant if issued." 23 Is that a true statement as far as you know? 24 Α Yes. 25 Would that have been true in 1981? Q

27

1 Α Yes. 2 You next list, "Victim Information: 0 3 Personal information, photo." Is that a true statement as far as you know? 4 5 Α Yes. 6 Q Would that have been true in 1981? 7 Α Yes. 8 You next list, "Coroner's Report: 0 The packet of reports and drawings provided by the person 9 handling the autopsy." 10 11 Α Yes. 12 Q That's a true statement as far as you know? 13 Α Yes, it is, but it would also include the 14 original coroner's deputy that arrived at the scene that 15 transported the body if we have all of his information 16 also. You know, he's the guy that collects the 17 fingernail clippings, he sets up the photographs. 18 Yes, it's not -- it's not just the doctor, 19 you know, who conducts the autopsy, it starts with when 20 the coroner investigator arrives and does his investigation. So the coroner's packet has everything 21 22 that the coroner's office did. 23 Got it. That all would have been true in 0 24 1981 as well? 25 Α Yes.

1	Q In your experience, in your experience, is
2	it typical that there would have been photographs taken
3	during the autopsy process?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And if there are photographs taken during
6	the autopsy process, would you expect those to be in the
7	murder book as well?
8	A Some investigators do and some investigators
9	don't, but it would be a part of the it would
10	definitely be a part of your manila folder, your poor
11	boy.
12	Q So those pictures would make it to the
13	sheriff's department in some fashion?
14	A They would definitely be a part of my file,
15	yes.
16	Q And that's would that have also been true
17	in 1981?
18	A Yes.
19	Q You last wrote in that paragraph, and again
20	I'm looking at Exhibit 1, second page, "There is a lot of
21	other information collected during an investigation that
22	is pertinent to the prosecution and discoverable by the
23	defense during a trial. These include, but are not
24	limited to the investigator notebooks, crime scene
25	photos, autopsy photos, subjects developed during the

1	investigation but eliminated, clues called in and worked
2	to a negative conclusion, et cetera. These items are
3	housed in a manila folder, boxes, or file cabinets,
4	depending upon the scope of the investigation."
5	Is that a truthful statement you typed there
6	as far as you know?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Would that have been true in 1981?
9	A Yes.
10	Q Was there a format for how a murder book was
11	supposed to be organized on its insides?
12	A The format that I presented to you was my
13	personal format. The investigator or the secretary puts
14	it together the way they wanted it. But all my books
15	were put together pretty much the way I have it out there
16	and that's the way I would tag them or put tabs for each
17	section. That's my way.
18	But this information, all this information
19	that I'm talking about, that would be used to prosecute a
20	case, would be in that in that murder book.
21	Can I go back one deal with the question
22	that you said about crime scene photos which you covered
23	in my thing?
24	Crime scenes photos might be a part of your
25	murder book, but in most cases, they aren't. They are

Coalition Court Reporters | 213.471.2966 | www.ccrola.com

1 part of the poor boy, you know, your poor boy. And both 2 the defense and the prosecution have access to that and 3 will pick out the pictures whatever they want to present 4 as evidence.

Q But the base elements, I think you are telling me this is how you organized your murder books, but there could be some variety with different investigators?

9 For an example, one example, quy puts Α Yes. 10 together his reports and he has got his patrol reports 11 and he has got his first homicide reports, he has got his 12 lab receipts, he has got his lab reports, and then all of a sudden, he writes -- more information comes in and he 13 14 has a report. The book has already been started, they 15 just throw that in the back.

With my system, all the reports are together. So you just go to the report section and you can read the whole thing without jumping through a whole bunch of hoops to get someplace. You get the whole story.

21 Q Got you.

5

6

7

8

So the things that you typed out in this e-mail to Mr. Perroni, you would expect to see that in any murder book, though the order could be different depending on the investigator?

Coalition Court Reporters | 213.471.2966 | www.ccrola.com

1	A Yes. Hold on for one second.
2	MR. CATE: Let's go off the record.
3	(Exhibit 1 marked for identification.)
4	(Recess taken.)
5	MR. CATE: Back on the record.
6	Q Tell me about the where was the library
7	first located when you all set it up in the early 1980s?
8	A Early 1980s, we were located up in the Hall
9	of Justice in Downtown L.A., I think between Broadway and
10	Spring Street, Temple, and the freeway. And we were up
11	on the eighth floor. I think there was one room on the
12	ninth floor.
13	No, homicide bureau was on the eighth floor,
14	the library was on the ninth floor. There was one other
15	room that might have been on the 10th floor.
16	Q At some point, there was an earthquake and
17	the building was condemned and you all had to move the
18	library; right?
19	A Yes.
20	Q By the time we get to 1999 and 2000, where
21	was the library? It was in a gym or something?
22	A Okay. I was I had already taken the
23	library back and was running a library when that move
24	occurred. So I oversaw the move from the Hall of Justice
25	to the Eastern Avenue facility, which is now our academy,

1 I think.

2	Anyways, there was the Eastern Avenue
3	facility that the original sheriff's department academy
4	was located at. I think it's back there now again. And
5	they have a jail there and over the years, we had
6	there was a gym that was there. In the old days, the
7	gymnasium was used for recruit training classes. And
8	when we moved from the Hall of Justice, we moved into
9	that gymnasium, which is on the Eastern Avenue facility,
10	just south of where the jail facility is located.
11	There are other county businesses on the
12	hill there, but this particular one is sheriff. I think
13	sheriff automotive also has a facility up there. Fire
14	department has a facility up there. Their headquarters
15	is up there.
16	Q How much of the library how much space in
17	the gym did the library take up?
18	A It took up the southern half of the library.
19	Q Southern half of the gym?
20	A Southern half of the gymnasium. Actually,
21	the whole part of that gymnasium, if you cut the gym part
22	in half, the library took up half, and we also had the
23	southern half, the remaining southern half of that
24	building. So
25	Q Go ahead.

1 Α You qo ahead. If someone wanted to come in and check out a 2 0 3 murder book, would they be allowed to leave the premises with it? 4 5 Only on very specific circumstances. Let me Α 6 put it this way: Let's say an investigator really needs 7 the murder book, like right now, it can't wait until tomorrow. He drives up -- you know, the separation 8 9 between where Biscailuz Center is, the Eastern Avenue facility, Biscailuz Center, and where the sheriff's 10 11 homicide is located in the City of Commerce is 12 approximately five year -- five miles. Five years. 13 So anyways, he would drive up, I will go in 14 there and get his file, hand it to him, and he could walk 15 out with it, because he has filled out the paperwork, I 16 am ready to go. I am just accommodating him. 17 If I wasn't available, because I do work 18 cases, if I wasn't available, they would either call 19 ahead and the property custodian would get it for him or 20 somebody -- somebody from the office would make the run up there and pick it up for him. Usually --21 22 Q Go ahead. 23 Usually it was me. Usually things didn't Α 24 happen -- usually people did not have to have things that 25 fast.

1	Q Okay. So I take it that it would be more
2	customary if somebody was checking out a murder book,
3	they would look at it on-site there at the gym; is that
4	what you are saying?
5	A No, they wouldn't look at it if somebody
6	was checking out a murder book, they would either they
7	would probably call me ahead and I will fill out the card
8	for them, pull the murder book, and they will come by and
9	pick it up.
10	If I wasn't there and they had the key or
11	they went in there with the custodian, they might go into
12	the library and pull their spot, pull their case because,
13	you know, it was easy to find. So, you know, but that
14	would be the only time anybody else would be in there.
15	Q Okay.
16	A In other words, for most for 99 percent
17	of the time, I would know would who be in there because I
18	would be there.
19	Q If somebody wanted to review a murder book
20	there on-site at the gymnasium, were there facilities to
21	do that?
22	A No.
23	Q There were no desks, no office, or anything
24	like that?
25	A I had an office and I had a desk, but nobody

Coalition Court Reporters | 213.471.2966 | www.ccrola.com

Г

2	nobody was invited into it there.
2	Q How big was your office?
3	A If it was if there was an investigator,
4	he took it with him.
5	Q Okay. How big was your office?
6	A My office was fairly small. It operated
7	it was about the width of the entry area and the size
8	the length of the entry area and the width is about one
9	desk, one-and-a-half desk wide. There were two desks in
10	there and one table, small table in the northwest corner,
11	and the lighting was poor. You could if people came
12	and visited, they didn't which I did not have very
13	many people came up and they did stop to pick up
14	something, you know, I would not talk to them in the
15	office, I would come out.
16	Q Okay. You used the phrase "property
17	custodian" and then you then used the word "custodian,"
18	was there some sort of custodian on-site there at the
19	gymnasium?
20	A What do you mean by "custodian" or are you
21	waiting for my explanation for that?
	Q You used the phrase, sometimes if you
22	
22 23	weren't available, a property custodian might do
	weren't available, a property custodian might do something.

Coalition Court Reporters | 213.471.2966 | www.ccrola.com

1	basically is the person who categorizes and files our
2	homicide evidence.
3	Q Okay.
4	A So that's the only custodian. Cleanup guys,
5	that's me, or else when the jail was in operation, I
6	might get a crew, you know, once every two months or
7	something like that to do a mop through.
8	Q And do you remember the name of the property
9	custodian in 1999?
10	A Shoot. Ford. There were two of them and
11	the one I remember, his last name was Ford. And I should
12	remember her full name, but I don't right now. Because
13	we used to walk about three, four miles a day. Ford.
14	Q A female named Ford?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Okay. And you thought there was a second
17	one as well?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Would that have been a female or a male?
20	A Female.
21	Q Okay. There was something I think it was
22	in your declaration that you talked about the rare
23	occasions that a member of the public, somebody from
24	outside the sheriff's department, might review a murder
25	book, and you limited it to victim's families.

1	Do I have that right?
2	A No.
3	Q Tell me how I don't have that right.
4	A Victim's families never came up to the
5	library. Investigators might review their case with the
6	victim's family, they will talk the case out with them,
7	they will show them what they have, this thing and that.
8	But that would not be done in the library and I do not do
9	that.
10	People that we are talking about is that
11	there were occasions, not very many, in which authors had
12	gotten permission to look at certain files. And those
13	people, had they gone through the proper, you know,
14	clearances, and usually, I was advised that they were
15	coming from the bureau, would come in, and I would show
16	them the file that they want or else they could have come
17	in with another investigator, you know, that had the
18	clearance and he would bring him in and show him, show
19	him the things that he wanted to see.
20	But there has only been a couple. I can
21	remember only about two or three people that did that.
22	Q Do you remember any of those author's names?
23	A Okay. Yeah. James Ellroy. And he came in
24	with he came in with Bill Stoner. And one of the
25	occasions with that was that Bill Stoner was a homicide

-- retired homicide investigator sergeant, and he 1 2 basically, they basically reworked -- what was the 3 author's name again? You said James Ellroy maybe? 4 Q 5 Α Yeah, Ellroy. 6 Yeah, he basically reworked his mother's 7 case and James Ellroy wrote a book about that 8 reinvestigation. 9 0 Okay. 10 Α And Stoner was the homicide representative, 11 as they are doing this, and that got a lot of publicity. 12 The other one that I remember that was there 13 for several days was a person that wanted access to our 14 files on the Sirhan Sirhan case. 15 Remember, even though he was there, I did 16 not provide any of these people with any place to sit 17 down. I did not provide them with -- they had to make do 18 and find -- they had to make do with what they had and find their own comfort zone. And those items didn't 19 20 leave the library. So these authors, Ellroy and the Sirhan 21 Q 22 Sirhan guy conducted their review there on-site at 23 gymnasium? 24 Α Yes. There might have been a few other 25 people that came in with investigators, investigators

Coalition Court Reporters | 213.471.2966 | www.ccrola.com

1	brought them in to view whatever they wanted to view, but
2	it was all legit as far as their having clearance and
3	stuff like that. And unless the investigator checked out
4	that file, which I wasn't questioning if an investigator
5	was there, I would have my I would have my eight-by-11
6	card to put into the spot.
7	Q You have used the word "permission" and you
8	have also used the word "clearance," that these authors
9	had to get permission or clearance, how would they go
10	about doing that?
11	A Well, first of all, they would have to
12	contact the sheriff's information bureau and make a
13	request to see a certain case or file, whatever.
14	The sheriff's information bureau would then
15	forward that to the admin sergeant at the homicide bureau
16	and, of course, the captain would get involved in this,
17	and they would make a decision as to whether they would
18	approve or reject this application. If it was approved
19	somewheres along the line, I was notified that so and so
20	would be coming and I would know who it was. People just
21	didn't walk up and say, "Hey," and flash me some paper.
22	I knew specifically who was coming and why.
22	

Q Do you remember any instances of an author
showing up without an investigator accompanying the
author?

1 Α No. 2 MR. CATE: This is a good stopping point. Do you 3 all want to take a break? We have been going about an 4 hour. 5 MS. BIRENBAUM: Do you have not estimate of how 6 much longer you have? 7 MR. CATE: I am halfway through my outline. 8 MS. BIRENBAUM: Are you okay to keep going, 9 Mr. Danoff? 10 THE WITNESS: I'm okay. 11 MS. BIRENBAUM: What about you, Ms. --12 THE STENOGRAPHIC REPORTER: I am fine to keep 13 going. It is up to you guys. 14 MS. BIRENBAUM: Okay, let us know if you need a break. 15 16 BY MR. CATE: 17 Would most murder books be kept, if they Ο 18 were large enough, in a box? 19 MS. BIRENBAUM: I am going to object. It calls for speculation. 20 21 BY MR. CATE: 22 Q You can answer the question. 23 Okay. Remember I was telling you that we Α 24 start collecting information, right, we start collecting 25 paperwork, pictures, we start collecting a lot of junk.

Coalition Court Reporters | 213.471.2966 | www.ccrola.com

1	Pretty soon, that junk is a lot larger than your poor
2	boy. So you might go to two poor boys. But eventually,
3	it might go to be a box. When you finally end up with
4	all this stuff, it is big enough for a box.
5	Some of these investigations, Sirhan Sirhan,
6	which wasn't our original handle, but because he was in
7	our custody and we were there at the scene, those
8	become those are basically in four-tier cabinets,
9	four-drawer cabinets. Sometimes two or three.
10	So it all depends on how big the
11	investigation is as far as you are collecting all these
12	all this information.
13	Q The boxes could be used to hold a murder
14	book?
15	A Boxes, if I if the case is stored in a
16	box, the murder book would be part of that box, plus the
17	one that is on file, you know, at the office.
18	Q I am trying to skip down through my outline
19	to not repeat things we've already covered.
20	Do you recall having a conversation with
21	anyone regarding the contents of the murder book
22	regarding Ms. Wood's death?
23	A The contents, no.
24	Q Do you recall having a conversation with
25	anyone regarding any files regarding Ms. Wood's death

Coalition Court Reporters | 213.471.2966 | www.ccrola.com

1 that weren't in her murder book? 2 I don't know what files are in the murder Α book. So I don't -- you know, I never saw any paperwork 3 regarding that case. The only thing that I did with that 4 5 case is, like I had written, was all hearsay. This is 6 office talk. Everybody -- you know, it's a small office. 7 We are homicide investigators, we are inquisitive. We 8 know what is happening around us. This is a case that 9 people talked about. I had the same type of knowledge. Nothing inside, just the same stuff as everybody else 10 11 would have access to. 12 Q Were you aware that Ms. Wood's body had 13 undergone an autopsy? 14 I assumed that it had because she died. Α 15 There is no doctor to sign. 16 0 Had you ever seen the coroner's report 17 regarding that autopsy? 18 Α No. 19 Q Have you ever seen any photographs taken in 20 connection with the autopsy of Ms. Wood? 21 Α No. 22 Do you have an opinion as to whether the 0 23 murder book regarding the investigation into Ms. Wood's 24 death contains photos taken in connection with her 25 autopsy?

1	MS. BIRENBAUM: I am going to interject a bunch of
2	objections here. It calls for speculation. It's beyond
3	the scope of this lay witness's testimony. It is
4	overbroad.
5	If you can answer the question, sir, you are
6	welcome to answer it.
7	BY MR. CATE:
8	Q You can answer the question, Mr. Danoff.
9	A I would assume with the scope of this
10	investigation, that there were autopsy reports and
11	photographs would be a part of that file.
12	Q Would it surprise you if the murder book did
13	not contain autopsy photos?
14	MS. BIRENBAUM: Again, I am going to object that it
15	calls for speculation.
16	You can answer.
17	THE WITNESS: The murder book probably did not have
18	I would assume the murder book would not have the
19	autopsy photos. It would have the coroner's report.
20	Photos would be in the case file.
21	BY MR. CATE:
22	Q Where would the case file be kept? Is that
23	something in the library?
24	A That would either be with the investigator,
25	or the person who is acting as the investigator at that

1 2 3 4 5	particular point in time, or it would be in the library.
3 4	pareitoriar pointe in cinc, or it would be in the inbiary.
4	Q Do you know a gentleman named Ralph
	Hernandez who worked as a deputy sheriff in the sheriff's
5	department homicide bureau?
	A It doesn't jump out at me, no.
6	Q That's fine.
7	Let me preface my next question with some
8	background information about two of the book authors that
9	have been discussed in Mr. Perroni's lawsuits.
10	Mr. Hernandez gave testimony at Mr. Perroni's prior
11	lawsuit that the sheriff's department's files concerning
12	the investigation into Ms. Wood's death have been split
13	into two portions: The 1981 investigation and then the
14	2011, the investigation that started in 2011, the
15	reinvestigation.
16	Mr. Hernandez testified that he determined
17	that access to portions of the 1981 files have been
18	provided to persons, including Ms. Finstad and to an
19	author named Sam Kashner. Mr. Hernandez testified that
20	these individuals were provided access to portions of the
21	1981 file in the years between the sheriff's department's
22	closing of its investigation into Natalie Wood's death
23	and the reopening of the investigation in 2011.
24	With that background regarding why I am
25	asking you questions about those two authors, let me ask

1 you this: First, do you know Sam Kashner? 2 Α No. 3 I take it you don't recall ever speaking to Ο Mr. Kashner? 4 5 Α No. 6 Q Do you know if you have ever communicated in 7 writing with Mr. Kashner? 8 Α No. Have you ever provided documents to 9 Ο Mr. Kashner? 10 11 Α No. 12 Will you agree with me that there can be a Q 13 distinction between giving something to someone or giving 14 someone access to something? 15 Like I could directly give you a book or I 16 could tell you, "Hey, there is a book down the hall in my 17 office, you are welcome to grab it." 18 Does that distinction make sense to you? 19 Α I know what your distinction is, but it 20 doesn't apply to me. 21 That's fine. I want to ask the last Q 22 question a little bit different now. 23 Have you ever provided Mr. Kashner with 24 access to any documents? 25 No. Specifically on this case -- on the Α

1	Wood's case, no.
2	Q Anything in general with Mr. Kashner?
3	A No.
4	Q All right. And then forgive me, this is
5	going to get tedious I am afraid, but I want to be
6	specific with my questions. Did you provide any kind of
7	opportunity for Mr. Kashner to have access to any kind of
8	documents?
9	A No.
10	MS. BIRENBAUM: Objection.
11	That's okay. Wait until I object next time.
12	I will let your question go.
13	THE WITNESS: No.
14	BY MR. CATE:
15	Q Let me ask this: Do you know anything about
16	Mr. Kashner being provided access by someone else to
17	anything from Ms. Wood's death investigation?
18	A No.
19	Q Let me ask you those similar questions
20	regarding Ms. Finstad.
21	MS. BIRENBAUM: Can I make one objection for all of
22	the line of questioning and just put it out there or
23	after you ask a question, I will make my objection as a
24	standing objection to the set of questions?
25	MR. CATE: You make whatever objections you think

1	you need to make.
2	MS. BIRENBAUM: I think it will go more efficiently
3	that way.
4	MR. CATE: Do you want to make it now?
5	MS. BIRENBAUM: Pose your question, I will object,
6	he'll answer. Okay?
7	BY MR. CATE:
8	Q As I mentioned, Mr. Danoff, Ms. Finstad has
9	identified you as a person who provided to her access to
10	Ms. Wood's murder book.
11	First off, do you know Ms. Finstad?
12	MS. BIRENBAUM: You can answer. I don't have
13	objections.
14	THE WITNESS: No, I don't know her. I have the
15	name doesn't mean anything to me other than it was
16	brought to my attention with this with this case, this
17	investigation.
18	No, I don't know her.
19	BY MR. CATE:
20	Q Bear with me as I ask these questions then.
21	Do you recall ever speaking to Ms. Finstad?
22	A No.
23	Q Do you recall ever communicating with
24	Ms. Finstad in writing?
25	A No.

1	Q Did you ever provide any kind of document or
2	documents to Ms. Finstad?
3	A No.
4	Q Did you ever provide Ms. Finstad with access
5	to any documents?
6	A No.
7	Q Did you ever provide any kind of opportunity
8	for Ms. Finstad to have access to any documents?
9	A No.
10	Q Do you know anything about Ms. Finstad being
11	provided access by anyone else to anything regarding the
12	investigation into Ms. Wood's death?
13	A No.
14	Q Mr. Hernandez's testimony suggests that
15	there may have been other persons who had access to
16	portions of the 1981 files regarding the death
17	investigation into Ms. Wood.
18	MS. BIRENBAUM: That calls for speculation as to
19	your representation about what Detective Hernandez's
20	declaration or testimony may have suggested.
21	Other than that, I'm just making the
22	objection for the record. The witness can answer.
23	MR. CATE: That's fine.
24	MS. BIRENBAUM: Do you need the question reread?
25	MR. CATE: There is no question yet.

1	Q Have you ever provided documents from the
2	murder book regarding Ms. Wood's death to anyone outside
3	the sheriff's department?
4	A I have never provided documents to anybody
5	because I have never seen documents from that file.
б	Q Have you ever provided access to documents
7	from the investigation into Ms. Wood's death to anyone
8	outside the sheriff's department?
9	A I have no recollection of ever pulling that
10	file or handling that file or ever providing access to
11	anybody else to anybody for that file.
12	Q Do you recall ever hearing that a member of
13	the public had received access to anything from
14	Ms. Wood's murder book?
15	A I might have heard that, but I don't know
16	anything about that.
17	Q You said you might have heard that, would
18	you have heard that when you were an employee of the
19	sheriff's department?
20	A No.
21	Q When might you have heard that?
22	A I don't know. I'm just I don't even know
23	if I did hear it to be honest with you, but because of
24	the nature of this investigation here, just something
25	I just might have heard that I might have heard

Г

1	
1	someplace and it wasn't from the sheriff's department,
2	maybe it is something from the public or something,
3	newspaper, stuff like that, but I don't I have no
4	knowledge of that.
5	Q Okay.
6	A I don't want to open up another can of
7	worms, but I just I'm trying to answer you truthfully,
8	and I don't know which to be truthful, I guess I don't
9	know. But I just thought I heard something like that.
10	Maybe I just assumed it. Maybe I just assumed it because
11	there was so much speculation, so many books that had
12	been written, and so much this case has been opened
13	several times or at least one other two other times
14	that I really don't everything that I have heard from
15	that would have been through the news or they recently
16	had a documentary on her life and death that I did see.
17	Q The HBO documentary?
18	A Yes.
19	Q We are here to open cans of worms, so if you
20	do remember where you might have heard that about the
21	members of the public receiving access to the file,
22	please speak up.
23	MS. BIRENBAUM: I am going to interject that it
24	obviously wouldn't cover anything that is attorney/client
25	privilege, any conversation Mr. Danoff would have had

1 with counsel. 2 BY MR. CATE: 3 I am not trying to elicit any conversations Ο you had with Ms. Birenbaum or anyone with her law firm. 4 5 That's a privileged conversation. 6 Do you remember any conversations you had 7 with anyone not a member of the sheriff's department and 8 not your counsel and not me today regarding Ms. Wood's 9 murder book? 10 Α No. 11 In the declaration you signed last summer, Ο 12 and for Counsel, I will tell you, I'm looking at 13 paragraph 14 of that declaration, you testified, "I have 14 no recollection of ever talking to or meeting with a 15 female author by the name of Suzanne Finstad." 16 Mr. Danoff, do you remember giving that 17 testimony? 18 Α I wrote it, yes. 19 Q To me, there is a distinction between an 20 outright denial and just saying "I have no recollection." 21 So I want to understand, are you telling us 22 you definitely remember not talking to anyone by the name 23 of Ms. Finstad or you just don't recollect it? MS. BIRENBAUM: Calls for speculation. 24 THE WITNESS: Is this something I answer or no? 25

Coalition Court Reporters | 213.471.2966 | www.ccrola.com

1	
1	MS. BIRENBAUM: It's vague and ambiguous. It's
2	phrased as a double negative. It's vague and ambiguous.
3	MR. CATE: You can answer the question.
4	MS. BIRENBAUM: If you understand it, you can
5	answer the question.
6	THE WITNESS: Repeat the question.
7	BY MR. CATE:
8	Q Let me ask it a different way. Is your
9	testimony today that you definitely remember not talking
10	to Ms. Finstad or is your testimony "I don't recall it,
11	but it could have happened"?
12	MS. BIRENBAUM: Object. It is vague and ambiguous,
13	but you can answer.
14	THE WITNESS: I don't recall ever talking to this
15	person. I'm not telling you that I didn't talk to her,
16	but I didn't talk to her about this case and I can I
17	will stand on that.
18	BY MR. CATE:
19	Q Okay. I appreciate that.
20	If Ms. Finstad were to testify under oath
21	that she definitely remembers meeting with you and
22	getting access to the murder book, would you say that's
23	not truthful testimony?
24	MS. BIRENBAUM: Calls for speculation.
25	THE WITNESS: Do I answer? Is this an answer or

Coalition Court Reporters | 213.471.2966 | www.ccrola.com

1	not?
2	MS. BIRENBAUM: If you can.
3	THE WITNESS: Okay.
4	MS. BIRENBAUM: He is asking if something were to
5	happen in the future, how would you respond.
6	BY MR. CATE:
7	Q Please answer the question.
8	A I would say I am saying, no, I never
9	talked to her about this case.
10	Q Okay. Were you surprised when you learned
11	that Ms. Finstad had written in a book that you had been
12	the person who provided her access to the murder book
13	regarding the investigation into the death of Ms. Wood?
14	A I just basically recently just learned about
15	what it was she wrote and how she got it, but yeah, I was
16	surprised. Yes, very much.
17	Q Did you make any effort to contact
18	Ms. Finstad to challenge her account?
19	A No.
20	Q Have you
21	A I did not even know she wrote a book.
22	Q I take it it was about a year ago that she
23	published the book that mentioned you.
24	A I didn't know about her writing a book, I
25	didn't read the book, I never read any book on this case,

1 including a murder book. 2 In the book, Ms. Finstad makes the claim 0 3 that Dewayne Rasure was the lead homicide detective 4 investigating the death of Ms. Wood. Do you know if that 5 is true? 6 Α I believe so, yes. 7 Q Am I saying that name correctly, Rasure? 8 Α Rasure. 9 0 Rasure. Thank you. 10 Did you know Detective Rasure? 11 Α Yes. 12 Q Did you ever work with him on an 13 investigation? 14 Yeah, he was my training officer in Α 15 homicide. 16 0 Besides being your training officer, were 17 you all friends? 18 Α Only through work. 19 Q You all had a good working relationship? 20 Yes. Α 21 Ms. Finstad wrote in the book that she Q 22 described him as genial, which I take it to mean friendly 23 and cheerful. 24 Do you agree with that characterization --25 Α Yes.

Original

Г

1	Q	of Detective Rasure?
2	А	Yes.
3	Q	That's a yes?
4	А	Yes.
5	Q	Do you know if Detective Rasure knew
6	Ms. Finstad?	
7	А	I don't know. No, I don't know.
8	Q	Did Rasure retire in the 1980s?
9	А	I believe so, yes.
10	Q	Did you communicate with him after he
11	retired?	
12	А	I think I saw him one time at some
13	retirement g	athering, and that was it.
14	Q	Do you recall ever having a conversation
15	with Detecti	ve Rasure about the investigation into
16	Ms. Wood's d	eath?
17	А	Never had a conversation about this case
18	with him.	
19	Q	Do you recall ever having a conversation
20	with Detecti	ve Rasure about Ms. Finstad?
21	А	Nope. No.
22	Q	Do you recall ever having a written
23	communicatio	n with Detective Rasure about the
24	investigation	n into Ms. Wood's death?
25	А	Never had a written communication with

1	Rasure.
2	Q Ms. Finstad wrote in her book that you had
3	the nickname of "Sweet Lou." Is that a nickname that
4	co-workers called you?
5	A Sweet Lou was used only by the black
6	deputies that worked with me at Lenox Station when I was
7	working patrol and by Gil Carillo, homicide investigator.
8	They called me "Louie the Hat." People don't know my
9	name.
10	Q They called you "Louie the Hat"?
11	A Yeah.
12	Q Why is that?
13	A Because I always wear a hat.
14	Q Ms. Finstad described you as an L.A.P.D.
15	detective. You never worked for the L.A.P.D.; right?
16	A That's right.
17	Q Was your title ever "Detective"?
18	A I've always introduced myself as an
19	investigator. The word "investigator" and "detective"
20	are probably interchangeable, but to me, the difference
21	is that I am I work to find the truth. So I call
22	myself an investigator and I probably worked my best work
23	in clearing people.
24	Q In clearing people?
25	A Yeah, unfortunately. Yeah.

1 0 Were you ever disciplined by the sheriff's 2 department for anything related to Ms. Wood's murder book or file? 3 4 Α No. 5 MS. BIRENBAUM: Belatedly invades his right to 6 privacy, but he answered it. 7 MR. CATE: Let's take a break. Let me confer with 8 my client. 9 (Recess taken.) MR. CATE: Back on the record. 10 11 MS. BIRENBAUM: We're back on the record. We are 12 just not going to see your face. Okay? 13 THE WITNESS: Okay. BY MR. CATE: 14 15 Mr. Danoff, you mentioned two property 0 16 custodians at the gym, two females, one with the last 17 name of Ford. Do you happen to remember her first name? 18 MS. BIRENBAUM: Asked and answered. 19 You can answer again. 20 THE WITNESS: I should remember it, but I don't. I don't remember her first name. 21 22 Cheryl. Cheryl Ford. 23 BY MR. CATE: 24 Ο Thank you for that. I'm not trying to -- I 25 know your counsel is going to object as asked and

1	answered. I	am just seeing since we have made progress
2	since I asked	the question earlier, do you happen to
3		name of the other female custodian?
4		No.
5		Okay. If you happen to remember that
6		morrow, would you let your counsel know that
7	name?	
8		Yes.
9		ENBAUM: I'm will go one more, we can leave
10		e deposition transcript. If he remembers it
11		etween now and him signing the transcript, I
12		him filling it in if Counsel would like.
13		E: I appreciate the it can show up in a
14		Thank you for that, Mr. Danoff.
15		(Information requested:
16)
17	BY MR. CATE:	······································
18		Did you work with a gentleman with the last
19	name of Garri	
20		The name is not familiar to me.
21		It's not?
22		No.
22		Did you work
		-
24		I might have, but I don't recall it, no.
25	Q	I'm trying to remember some I am trying

1 to see what you know about some potential witnesses here. 2 Did you work with a gentleman by the name of 3 Hamilton? Yeah, Hamilton was in the bureau the same 4 Α 5 time I was. We had worked the Metropolitan bureau before 6 coming to the bureau, so I know Hamilton, yeah, but I 7 never worked with him though. 8 Do you remember Hamilton's first name? 0 9 Α Roy. 10 0 Roy Hamilton. 11 Do you remember Roy Hamilton's position? 12 Α He was a homicide investigator. I think he 13 was Rasure's partner. 14 Did you -- do you recall having any Q 15 discussions with Mr. Hamilton regarding Ms. Wood's death? 16 Α Never had a conversation with him about 17 this. No. 18 Ο Let's talk about Rasure for a moment. Do 19 you recall Mr. Rasure ever showing you photographs of 20 Ms. Wood? 21 Α No. He never showed me anything from the 22 file, nor did we talk about it. 23 Do you have any recollection of ever hearing 0 24 that Rasure was showing photographs of Ms. Wood? 25 Α No.

1	Q Once Rasure would have closed the
2	investigation, where would those poor boys and other
3	parts of his file go? Would they be consolidated into a
4	murder book?
5	MS. BIRENBAUM: Calls for speculation. Asked and
6	answered.
7	BY MR. CATE:
8	Q You can answer the question.
9	A Like I said before, all of the paperwork
10	that he had accumulated and everything that he had put
11	into the file, including anything that including in a
12	murder book, photographs, and anything else would have
13	gone into a either a cardboard box or a poor boy or a
14	file cabinet, you know, under that case name and been
15	filed at the library.
16	Q Thank you for that.
17	Once an investigation is closed, would the
18	records be kept together regarding that investigation?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Okay. You discussed the eight-
21	and-a-half-by-eleven card that you would stick in the
22	library when someone checked out a murder book. Do you
23	recall that?
24	A Yes.
25	Q If you wanted to go lay your hands on that

1 card today regarding Ms. Wood's murder book, would you 2 know where to look for that? 3 No. I don't even know what their system is Α I mean, I know that it's changed to a different 4 today. 5 system, but I don't know what their protocol is. 6 You testified about the library system being Q 7 converted to a computer system at some point; correct? 8 Α Yes, it was converted twice as a matter of 9 fact. When I -- when Bill McComas went to the unsolved 10 team, he put the card system into a small, you know, 11 homemade-type of computer program. And then when Lynn 12 Reader came onboard, he reentered it and made it 13 compatible to the new computerized system that the 14 homicide bureau and the department was using. 15 0 When you retired, these computer systems 16 were not in place yet; correct? 17 That's right. Α 18 Ο You were still using the card system when 19 you retired? 20 When I stopped being librarian, yes. Α There is no dispute in this lawsuit or at 21 Q 22 least in the prior lawsuit, there was no dispute that 23 Ms. Finstad did receive portions of the sheriff's 24 department file regarding the death of Ms. Wood and 25 copies of those documents were produced to Mr. Perroni.

Coalition Court Reporters | 213.471.2966 | www.ccrola.com

1	Your testimony today is that you would not have been the
2	person to produce those documents to Ms. Finstad. Do you
3	know who else would have been in a position to provide
4	Ms. Finstad with portions of the file?
5	MS. BIRENBAUM: Calls for speculation.
6	BY MR. CATE:
7	Q You can answer the question.
8	A I don't know. The original investigators I
9	knew and I never talked about this case with them. The
10	second investigation or third investigation that was
11	reopened up actually, I never talked about this case
12	with anybody, but and after I retired, I did not have
13	access to the library. Even as a reserve working out of
14	homicide, I didn't have access to the library.
15	So I don't know.
16	MR. CATE: Mr. Danoff, I appreciate your time
17	today. I pass the witness.
18	MS. BIRENBAUM: I don't have any questions.
19	MR. CATE: All right. Mr. Danoff, I appreciate
20	your time today and thank you for working with us with
21	and doing this over Zoom.
22	THE WITNESS: You are welcome. Thank you.
23	MS. BIRENBAUM: Are we off the record?
24	MR. CATE: Off the record.
25	(The matter was adjourned at 3:52 p.m.)

	-
1	DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY
2	
3	I, LOUIS DANOFF, do hereby certify under
4	penalty of perjury that I have read the foregoing
5	transcript of my deposition taken MARCH 26, 2021; that I
6	have made such corrections as appear noted on the
7	Deposition Errata Page, attached hereto, signed by me;
8	that my testimony as contained herein, as corrected, is
9	true and correct.
10	
11	Dated this day of,
12	2021, at,
13	California.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	LOUIS DANOFF
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Original

1	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET
2	
3	Page No Line No
4	Change:
5	Reason for Change:
6	Page No Line No
7	Change:
8	Reason for Change:
9	Page No Line No
10	Change:
11	Reason for Change:
12	Page No Line No
13	Change:
14	Reason for Change:
15	Page No Line No
16	Change:
17	Reason for Change:
18	Page No Line No
19	Change:
20	Reason for Change:
21	Page No Line No
22	Change:
23	Reason for Change:
24	
25	LOUIS DANOFF DATED

Coalition Court Reporters | 213.471.2966 | www.ccrola.com

1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
2) COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)
3)
4	I, Karen Vilicich, CSR 7634, Certified Shorthand
5	Reporter, do hereby certify:
6	That prior to being examined, the witness named in
7	the foregoing deposition was by me duly sworn;
8	That said deposition was taken down by me in
9	shorthand at the time and place therein named and
10	thereafter transcribed under my direction;
11	I further certify that I am neither counsel for, nor
12	related to, any party to said proceedings, not in anyway
13	interested in the outcome thereof.
14	I declare under penalty of perjury under the law of
15	the State of California that the foregoing is true and
16	correct.
17	Dated: April 9th, 2021
18	
	Kan Utr
19	1 loca Vi
20	Karen Vilicich CSR No. 7634, CRR
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	