1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CENTRAL DISTRICT
3	
4	SAMUEL A. PERRONI,)
5	Plaintiff,
6	vs. Case No. 21STCP00108
7	ALEX VILLANUEVA, IN HIS OFFICIAL) CAPACITY AS SHERIFF; THE COUNTY)
8	OF LOS ANGELES SHERIFF'S) DEPARTMENT; and DOES 1 through)
9	50, Inclusive,
10	Defendants.)
11	/
12	
13	
14	DEPOSITION OF ALBERT GROTEFEND
15	
16	THURSDAY, OCTOBER 28, 2021
17	1:02 P.M.
18	
19	(Conducted remotely via Zoom)
20	
21	
22	
23	Reported by:
24	Christina Dominguez
25	CSR No. 12516

SAMUEL A. PERRONI VS ALEX VILLANUEVA., 21STCP00108 October 28, 2021

		2
1	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3	For Plaintiff:	
4	QUATTLEBAUM, GROOMS & TULL, PLLC BY: VINCENT O. CHADICK, ESQ.	
5	4100 Corporate Center Drive Suite 310	
6	Springdale, AR 72762 (479) 444-5200	
7	vchadick@qgtlaw.com	
8		
9		
10	For Defendants:	
11	POLLAK, VIDA & BARER	
12	BY: ANNA L. BIRENBAUM, ESQ. 11500 West Olympic Boulevard	
13	Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90064	
14	(310) 551-3400 alb@pvandf.com	
15		
16		
17	Also present:	
18	SAMUEL A. PERRONI, Plaintiff	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

SAMUEL A. PERRONI VS ALEX VILLANUEVA., 21STCP00108 October 28, 2021 Certified Copy

	50.00		3
1	INDEX TO EXAMINATION		
2			
3	WITNESS: ALBERT GROTEFEND		
4	EXAMINATION	PAGE	
5	By Mr. Chadick	6	
6			
7			
8	INFORMATION REQUESTED		
9	Page Line		
10			
11			
12	DOCUMENTS REQUESTED		
13	Page Line		(9)
14			
15	W.		
16	WITNESS INSTRUCTED NOT TO ANSWER		
17	Page Line		
18	24 14		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

SAMUEL A. PERRONI VS ALEX VILLANUEVA., 21STCP00108 October 28, 2021 Certified Copy

	4
1	INDEX TO EXHIBITS
2	DEPOSITION OF ALBERT GROTEFEND
3	Samuel A. Perroni vs. Alex Villanueva, et al.
4	Thursday, October 28, 2021
5	Christina Dominguez, CSR No. 12516
6	
7	MARKED DESCRIPTION PAGE
8	
9	(NONE MARKED)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CONDUCTED VIA ZOOM
2	THURSDAY, OCTOBER 28, 2021, 1:02 p.m.
3	
4	THE REPORTER: Due to the current National
5	Emergency of the COVID-19 virus, this deposition is being
6	handled via remote means.
7	Today's date is October 28, 2021, and it is 1:02
8	p.m. This is the deposition of Albert Grotefend.
9	At this time I will ask counsel to identify
10	yourself, state whom you represent, and agree on the
11	record that there is no objection to my administering the
12	binding oath to the witness via Zoom.
13	Let's start with the noticing attorney.
14	MR. CHADICK: Vincent Chadick, co-counsel for
15	Petitioner Samuel Perroni. And we have no objection.
16	MS. BIRENBAUM: Anna Birenbaum, counsel for the
17	witness. No objection.
18	THE REPORTER: I will now swear in the witness.
19	Mr. Grotefend, will you please raise your right
20	hand.
21	
22	ALBERT GROTEFEND,
23	having been first duly sworn, was examined and
24	testified as follows:
25	

1	EXAMINATION
2	
3	BY MR. CHADICK:
4	Q Will you state your full name for the record,
5	please.
6	A Albert Francis Grotefend.
7	Q Mr. Grotefend, where are you located physically
8	at the moment?
9	A At my residence.
10	Q And what locality is that?
11	MS. BIRENBAUM: Vague and ambiguous. Are you
12	asking for his full address or just a general idea?
13	MR. CHADICK: I'm not answering any questions
14	today, Ms. Birenbaum. You know that.
15	MS. BIRENBAUM: Well, it's vague and ambiguous.
16	You asked for the locality.
17	MR. CHADICK: I'm sorry. We've done this
18	before. My apologies to the witness. I'm going to ask
19	that you please follow the rules and if you have an
20	objection to the form, simply state you have an objection
21	to the form of the question and not coach the witness
22	with commentary.
23	MS. BIRENBAUM: Mr. Chadick, you don't practice
24	in California. The California rules let us make
25	objections, like vague and ambiguous. If I don't

understand the question, the witness can't possibly understand it either, Counsel. I will make appropriate objections based on the state of California, where you are not admitted to practice law. When you pass the California Bar and you practice in California, we can talk.

However, I will make all objections I find appropriate. Please do not speak to me in such a manner. I'm a licensed attorney with many years of experience and I am not sitting here to be demeaned by you, Counsel. So act professionally and we can move forward.

MR. CHADICK: I am admitted to practice law in California pro hac vice and I resent the implication otherwise. And the California rules in commentary specifically says you should lodge your objection in a non suggestive way, and I'm asking you to do that in a non demeaning and entirely professional and respectful manner.

MS. BIRENBAUM: Fantastic.

MR. CHADICK: Note the sarcasm.

MS. BIRENBAUM: Well, being as you are recording this proceeding without my permission, which by the way I object to using my image and my video for any reason. I am not the witness. It is not proper to record opposing counsel in a deposition. So I am going to place that

2002 MS 10 MS	202			
object	ion on	tho	rogord	Counsel.
	TOIL OIL	CITE	record,	COULSET.

And as long as you said not to say things in a suggestive manner, telling me that you are quote-unquote noting sarcasm on the record is entirely inappropriate, Counsel. So instead of wasting my witness's time arguing with me, why don't you move forward.

You asked him for his locality. Being as he is an employee of the Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, I was simply trying to make sure that you are not trying to obtain his address. We don't need to have this fight, Counsel. This is entirely inappropriate.

MR. CHADICK: What is the sidebar -- apologies to the witness. On the recording, am I doing that? Can you see something that I'm doing that -- and I'm just asking functionally -- that I'm doing that I have control of that shouldn't be done?

MS. BIRENBAUM: Well, there's a note that it's recording. When you record a witness, according to the Code of Civil Procedure, it is the witness only who is on the videotaping. You are recording all of us. We see a note when we log on to the Zoom.

MR. CHADICK: And your objection is that I should record either only the witness or none of you, correct?

MS. BIRENBAUM: Correct, based on the California

1	Code of Civil Procedure.
2	MR. CHADICK: All right. Well, I will try to
3	figure out how to get this recording off.
4	There.
5	MS. BIRENBAUM: Perfect. Thank you.
6	MR. CHADICK: Of course.
7	BY MR. CHADICK:
8	Q So I'm just asking, sir, where are you located?
9	I mean, where are you now?
10	A At my residence.
11	Q Okay. Can you I don't need the address. Can
12	you just by locality, otherwise
13	A San Bernardino County.
14	Q Sir?
15	A San Bernardino County.
16	Q Thank you. Perfect.
17	My name is Vincent Chadick, as you heard when
18	the record began. I am one of the co-counsel for the
19	petitioner in this action of which you are not personally
20	a party. My client's name is Mr. Sam Perroni. I am in
21	Arkansas at the moment. I am admitted into the case pro
22	hac vice so I can practice in this case. And I've just
23	got a few questions for you. Okay?
24	First, tell me, what is your position by job
25	title.

1	А	Currently?
2	Q	Yes, sir.
3	А	Reserve Deputy Sheriff.
4	Q	And is that for Los Angeles County Sheriff's
5	Departme	nt?
6	А	Yes, it is.
7	Q	All right. And what does it mean to be a
8	Reserve	Deputy Sheriff?
9	А	Well, it basically means that I can assist in
10	law enfo	rcement functions, primarily at Homicide Bureau.
11	That's w	here I'm assigned to as a reserve. So I can help
12	them out	. They are in different areas.
13	Q	How long have you been a Reserve Deputy Sheriff
14	for Los	Angeles County Sheriff Department?
15	A	Since I retired. I retired in March of 2008 and
16	I've bee	n a reserve since then at Homicide Bureau.
17	Q	Who is Lynn Reeder?
18	A	You know, I know the name. I don't know that I
19	know him	personally.
20	Q	What do you know about Mr. Reeder?
21		MS. BIRENBAUM: Vague and ambiguous.
22		MR. CHADICK: You can answer.
23		If you understand the question, you can answer,
24	sir.	
25		THE WITNESS: I don't know anything about him.
	I	

1	BY MR. CHADICK:
2	Q Okay. When you say that the name is familiar to
3	you, in what context is that name familiar to you?
4	A He may have worked homicide. But I'm not even
5	certain of that.
6	Q And when you say may have worked homicide, do
7	you mean with the LA County Sheriff's Department?
8	A Yes, sir.
9	Q Okay. Have you, by the way, reviewed any
10	materials in preparation for this deposition?
11	MS. BIRENBAUM: I'm going to object potential to
12	infringe on the attorney-client relationship. But
13	otherwise, you can answer that.
14	THE WITNESS: Yes, I've spoken with my counsel.
15	BY MR. CHADICK:
16	Q Wait, wait. I'm sorry. Hold on. Let me repeat
17	the question. And I want to be very careful because I
18	don't want to hear anything about any conversations
19	between you and counsel.
20	My question, sir, is simply, have you reviewed
21	any materials in preparation for the deposition?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Okay. Can you tell me what those materials are
24	please?
25	A I went over my resume just to thinking that

1	you might ask assignments and things like that. Just
2	reviewed that's about it. Just reviewed my resume.
3	Q Okay. And I know you've been around lawyers.
4	I'm particular, like most, when you say "that's about
5	it," can you
6	A That is it.
7	Q All right. So in preparation for today's
8	deposition, in terms of materials that you've reviewed,
9	it is limited to and exclusively your resume, correct?
10	A Yes, sir.
11	Q Okay. Thank you.
12	I just want to make sure, can you state whether
13	you've ever had a conversation with Lynn Reeder?
14	A Not that I'm aware of.
15	Q Okay. Who is Scott Lusk?
16	A Scott Lusk was a detective assigned to Homicide
17	Bureau.
18	Q Is he still employed with LA County Sheriff's
19	Department?
20	A No. I believe he's retired long ago.
21	Q When is the last time you spoke with retired
22	Officer Lusk?
23	A Probably when I was assigned to Homicide Bureau
24	as a team lieutenant in, I guess, 2007, 2008, around
25	there.

1	Q Okay. And this is very much a sidebar. I've
2	had two children that have gone to college in Los Angeles
3	County and I want you to know that I appreciate your
4	service and thank you very much.
5	A Thank you, sir.
6	Q Yep. In terms of your retirement in March of
7	2008, was that a scheduled retirement? Had you just
8	gotten to a point where you had given notice and you
9	retired?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Okay. And at the time of your retirement, were
12	you was your job title lieutenant in the Homicide
13	Bureau?
14	A Yes, sir.
15	Q In a Reader's Digest form, sir, can you tell me
16	what your job duties were as a lieutenant in the Homicide
17	Bureau, the last position you had before you retired in
18	March of 2008?
19	MS. BIRENBAUM: Object as not relevant. But you
20	can answer.
21	THE WITNESS: I was a team lieutenant.
22	Basically, I had approximately 14 investigators comprised
23	of two-man teams, seven teams, and my duties were to
24	respond to every homicide that we got called out for and
25	assign a team of investigators to handle that homicide.

1	BY MR. CHADICK:
2	Q And in terms of retired Officer Lusk, what was
3	his job title at the time that you were promoted up or
4	became a team lieutenant for the Homicide Bureau?
5	A Initially he was a detective on one of the
6	teams. It wasn't my team. And towards the end of my
7	tenure there, he was what they call the librarian. He
8	was in charge of all the case files for all of Homicide
9	Bureau.
10	Q And what is a case file?
11	A It's a each homicide case has a file, and
12	he's in charge of maintaining all of those cases.
13	Q By category, can you describe what is included
14	in a homicide case file?
15	MS. BIRENBAUM: Vague and ambiguous. It's not
16	relevant. You can answer if you understand.
17	THE WITNESS: The case file just comprised of
18	all the reports.
19	BY MR. CHADICK:
20	Q For the various investigators in a homicide,
21	from the detectives to medical personnel? Would it
22	include those kinds of reports?
23	A Each case, each homicide case has a case file
24	and each case file consists of all the reports. For
25	example, in a homicide case, from the time that first

1	report is taken that someone is murdered all the way up
2	through the whole investigation, and everything pretty
3	much included in the file.
4	Q Did Mr. Lusk well, strike that.
5	What did Mr. Lusk tell you about his checking
6	out the Natalie Wood case file.
7	A Well, I asked to review that case and he gave me
8	the case file.
9	Q Mr. Lusk checked out the file, at least in 2010.
10	Did he explain to you why he did that?
11	A I was gone in 2008 and just a reserve from that
12	point on, so my contact with the detectives was limited.
13	My reserve work pretty much consisted of computer work.
14	Q Thank you. And that explanation is helpful, but
15	I want to make sure that I didn't miss an answer in
16	there.
17	Did Mr. Lusk tell you why he checked out the
18	Natalie Wood file in 2010?
19	A No, sir.
20	Q All right. And do you know where Mr. Lusk is
21	now?
22	A No, I do not.
23	Q Prior to your becoming a team lieutenant
24	assigned to homicide in 2007, were you aware of the
25	Natalie Wood investigation?

_	MC DIDENDALM Mosses and sub-braces. Many sees
1	MS. BIRENBAUM: Vague and ambiguous. You can
2	answer.
3	THE WITNESS: Just from the general news
4	reports. Nothing further than that.
5	BY MR. CHADICK:
6	Q When you were a team lieutenant in 2007 and
7	2008, were you aware that the Natalie Wood murder
8	investigation was inactive? In other words, it was not
9	ongoing in 2007 and 2008?
10	A I believe that's correct.
11	Q At any point in time, sir, when you were
12	employed by the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department,
13	were you assigned to investigate the death of Natalie
14	Wood?
15	A No, sir.
16	Q You checked out the Natalie Wood investigation
17	file from LA County Sheriff's Department, correct?
18	A Yes, sir.
19	Q And when did you do that?
20	A Probably in I'm not sure of the exact date.
21	Possibly 2007. Maybe before.
22	Q If the records indicate that you checked out the
23	Natalie Wood murder investigation file on or about
24	November 15 of 2007, would that be inconsistent with your
25	memory?

1	A You know, I really couldn't recall one way or
2	the other. It's possible.
3	Q Okay. But, I mean, there's nothing about that
4	date that you know for a fact, I did not check out the
5	Natalie Wood murder investigation file on November 15,
6	2007, correct?
7	A No, sir.
8	Q Okay. Why did you check it out?
9	A I just wanted to review the case and see if
10	put a fresh set of eyes on it to see if anything that was
11	in the prior reports was missed or anything else that
12	could be done for the investigation.
13	Q Do you recall how long at the time that you
14	checked out the file for the Natalie Wood murder
15	investigation it had been inactive?
16	A No, I don't.
17	Q Why did you select the Natalie Wood murder
18	investigation file to review to put a fresh set of eyes
19	on it, sir?
20	A I can't recall the exact reason, whether it came
21	up at homicide or whatever. I can't really recall the
22	exact reason I did. I just thought it came to mind
23	somehow. I don't recall how.
24	Q Who did you talk with about your review of the
25	Natalie Wood murder investigation?

1	MS. BIRENBAUM: Assumes go ahead. It's vague
2	and ambiguous.
3	THE WITNESS: I don't really recall who I spoke
4	to.
5	BY MR. CHADICK:
6	Q Well, did you have to go and check out the file?
7	A The way the files are usually checked out is I
8	just make a request. I think in this case it was to
9	Scott Lusk, and then he would bring me the file.
10	Q And how would you actually physically and I'm
11	getting granular here. But how would you actually
12	physically make that request to Mr. Lusk? Was that by
13	telephone that you asked for the file to be checked out
14	or was it by email?
15	A At the time we were all in the same building, so
16	I just told him in person, as I recall.
17	Q And at that point in time, was Mr. Lusk the
18	librarian?
19	A As I recall, yes.
20	Q What building were you in?
21	A We were at the building on Rickenbacker in the
22	city of Commerce. Rickenbacker Street.
23	Q And if I were to go back and try to reconstruct,
24	sir, in 2007, specifically the building that is at
25	Rickenbacker Street and that was an LA County Sheriff's

- 1	
1	Department building, would there be only one or were
2	there others? I want to make sure that your answer is
3	not unintentionally vague.
4	A Okay. As I recall, it was not an LA County
5	building. It was a building leased in a commercial area
6	of the city of Commerce. And they leased that building,
7	and they are actually no longer there.
8	Q Okay. The building is still there, but LA
9	County Sheriff's Department is no longer leasing this
10	building where you were located back in 2007, which was
11	in the city of Commerce, correct?
12	A Yes, sir.
13	Q But at this point in time, back in 2007, when
14	you asked to see the Natalie Wood murder investigation
15	file, the file was located there in this leased space in
16	the city of Commerce, correct?
17	A Yes, sir.
18	Q And so were you and so was Mr. Lusk, correct?
19	A Yes, sir.
20	Q All right. In an effort to just jog your
21	memory, what did the file look like?
22	MS. BIRENBAUM: Vague and ambiguous. But you
23	can answer, if you can.
24	THE WITNESS: As I recall, it was just a case
25	file containing supplemental reports.

- 1	
1	BY MR. CHADICK:
2	Q Well, how big was the file?
3	A As I recall, what I received was what they call
4	a poor boy, and it's a manila file that has a rubber band
5	around it not a rubber band, but elastic and the
6	reports are contained in that.
7	Q Were they all in one poor boy in this Natalie
8	Wood murder investigation file or were they several poor
9	boys in a banker box?
10	A No, it was just the one that I received.
11	Q And can you just you can show me and I'll try
12	to figure it out. Is this a two-inch file that was the
13	Natalie Wood murder file brought to you when you checked
14	it out or was it six inches? Can you approximate for me?
15	A Well, the case file was probably about, I would
16	say the poor boy was about, I suppose, 16 inches by
17	about maybe 12 inches high and it's expandable, so it
18	could expand maybe four inches or so. And the reports in
19	the file were probably just an inch or two. It wasn't
20	that many reports in there.
21	Q Do you have any recollection, sir, of getting on
22	to a computer system to log in and check out the Natalie
23	Wood murder investigation file?
2.4	A No. No. I don't think we didn't check out

files by computer at that time, as I recall.

25

1	Q Who else had access to that murder investigation
2	file when you had it?
3	A No one.
4	Q How long did you have the file, the Natalie Wood
5	murder investigation file?
6	A You know, I don't recall. I know I retired in
7	March of 2008. So it would have been turned in before
8	that.
9	Q So you believe that your check out of the
10	Natalie Wood murder investigation file would have had to
11	have resulted in your returning that file prior to April
12	2 of 2008; is that correct?
13	A Well, I was gone at the end of March. I think
14	March 30th was my last date at Homicide Bureau.
15	Q And I just want to make sure that I understand.
16	You, therefore, are testifying that you could
17	not have returned the Natalie Wood murder investigation
18	file on April 2 of 2008, correct?
19	A Well, that's correct.
20	Q Do you have, sir, a specific recollection of
21	returning the Natalie Wood murder investigation file?
22	A I don't have a specific recollection. I know
23	that I returned it, but I don't have any specific
24	recollection of it.
25	Q Okay. When you say you know that you returned

1	it, is it your testimony that you are certain that you
2	personally returned the Natalie Wood murder investigation
3	file to the library to Officer Lusk or whoever was
4	manning the library at the time?
5	A That's the best of my recollection.
6	Q And likewise, the last time I ask this question,
7	you know that you could not have returned the Natalie
8	Wood murder investigation file on April 2 of 2008 because
9	you had already retired, correct?
10	A I did retire, yes.
11	Q And so you know you could not have returned the
12	Natalie Wood murder investigation file on April 2, 2008,
13	correct?
14	A Correct. I returned it before that.
15	Q Okay. Are you aware of any activity other than
16	your personal review or putting a fresh set of eyes on
17	the Natalie Wood murder investigation file that occurred
18	after you checked out the file?
19	MS. BIRENBAUM: Vague and ambiguous. You can
20	answer, if you understand.
21	THE WITNESS: The only thing I recall is that
22	when the case was brought up again by Lieutenant John
23	Corina.
24	BY MR. CHADICK:
25	Q And that was after you retired, correct?

000000	,	
Certifi	led	Copy

1	A Yes.
2	Q So I gather from your testimony, you are unaware
3	of any activity relating to the investigation of the
4	Natalie Wood murder that occurred between the time you
5	checked out the murder file in November of 2007 and the
6	time of your retirement, correct?
7	A I'm sorry. Would you repeat that one more time,
8	please.
9	Q Sure. You are unaware of any activity relating
10	to the investigation of the Natalie Wood murder that
11	occurred at the time that you were putting a fresh set of
12	eyes on the case upon checking it out in November of 2007
13	and your retirement?
14	A Was I aware of anyone else checking out the case
15	during that time?
16	Q Or doing anything, say, at your direction?
17	A No, sir.
18	Q And, of course, no one else could have checked
19	out the file when you had it, correct?
20	A That's correct.
21	Q Who else looked at the file with you when you
22	had it? This is the Natalie Wood murder investigation
23	file.
24	A I don't believe anyone. I just looked at it
25	myself.

1	Q Where did you review the file materials of the
2	Natalie Wood murder investigation?
3	A At my desk at Homicide Bureau.
4	Q And do you think that that file could have
5	stayed at your desk for a period of four months?
6	MS. BIRENBAUM: Calls for speculation. It's
7	also argumentative. You can answer.
8	THE WITNESS: When I wasn't reviewing the case,
9	it would be in my locked file cabinet.
10	BY MR. CHADICK:
11	Q And was the locked file cabinet approximately
12	located to your desk?
13	A It was. It was right next to it.
14	Q How much time in either hours or days or weeks
15	did you spend reviewing the Natalie Wood murder
16	investigation file?
17	MS. BIRENBAUM: This is not relevant for the
18	CPRA request. Counsel, you've gone so far into digging
19	and abusing the CPRA process just to gather information
20	for who knows what, as the judge pointed out this
21	morning.
22	Counsel, if you can explain how this has
23	anything to do with your CPRA request, I'll consider
24	allowing the witness to answer.
25	MR. CHADICK: Certainly.

BY MR. CHADICK:

Q Sir, what I'm trying to do is just make certain that you account for any other people that either looked at the file when it was in your custody or had access to that file while it was in your custody. And that would lead to whether other people saw it. And that, I believe, and I'm not arguing to you, but that I believe justifies this line of inquiry and this question.

MS. BIRENBAUM: About how many hours he's looked at it? How would that have anything to do with anyone else who looked at it, which he's just told you it was only him. So he's already asked and answered your questions here.

The hours he spent reviewing it, as he testified to alone, would have no relevance to answer your areas of inquiry, Counsel. Unless you can explain that to me, I can't let the witness answer this. You've gone so far from the CPRA matter.

MR. CHADICK: Okay. I, again, believe that you are making argumentative objections for the purpose of coaching the witness. I am only asking about his practice, which would hopefully, when I ask him how much time he spent with it, jog his memory as to other people walking past and being involved in his investigation. That's the reason for the question.

- 1	
1	MS. BIRENBAUM: Lay a better foundation,
2	Counsel, and I'll consider letting him answer it. As the
3	question is posed right now, you've gone beyond the scope
4	of the CPRA action. You are going into investigatory
5	issues. You're just really digging for something, and
6	that is not the purpose of this CPRA action, as the Court
7	very clearly told your client this morning. So lay a
8	foundation and I'll consider it.
9	MR. CHADICK: For the record, I want to make
LO	sure as to my question regarding his handling of the file
L1	and how much time he spent with it, at this point you are
L2	instructing him not to answer; is that correct?
L3	MS. BIRENBAUM: You didn't ask him how much time
L4	he spent with it. You asked how much time he spent
15	reviewing it, Counsel. He already explained to you how
16	much time he had the file. So you are asking for his
17	active work reviewing documents, which has nothing to do
18	with documents to be produced under the California Public
19	Records Act Petition.
20	MR. CHADICK: Okay. Thank you.
21	MS. BIRENBAUM: So if you can lay a foundation
22	that might have something to do with how somebody walking
23	past him would have potentially seen anything that would
24	respond to issues in this California Public Records Act

Petition, set forth that foundation and I will consider

25

1	it. Right now you've gone too far. I've given you a
2	really wide berth here, and now I'm limiting it. So if
3	you can lay a foundation, I'm happy to consider it.
4	MR. CHADICK: Let me make clear I'm not asking
5	any questions about his activities in an ongoing
6	investigation. This is not during that time period.
7	My question is for him to tell me how much time
8	he spent reviewing that file. That's the question. And
9	I just want to make sure, are you instructing him not to
10	answer that?
11	MS. BIRENBAUM: Until you can set a foundation
12	as to how that's going to establish anything in the CPRA
13	Petition, I'm instructing him not to tell you how much
14	time he spent on a Sheriff's Department file review.
15	That is correct.
16	If you can explain how that assists with this
17	petition or set some foundation, I'm happy to entertain
18	it. I'm really trying to work with you here, Counsel,
19	but your questions are going too far and they are just in
20	the realm of looking for anything that has nothing to do
21	with this petition and abusing this process. So set a
22	foundation and I will reconsider the question.
23	BY MR. CHADICK:
24	Q Was there anything unique about the Natalie Wood
25	murder investigation file that led you to check it out

1	vis-a-vis any other fresh set of eyes? And I don't want
2	to know about any other fresh set of eyes that you looked
3	at. I just want to know, did someone talk to you about
4	this case, that you recall, and you say I want to check
5	it out and put a fresh set of eyes on it?
6	MS. BIRENBAUM: It's two questions, Counsel.
7	You are asking what made it unique, and now you are
8	saying did anyone ask you to do it? That's two
9	questions. Which is the question he's answering?
10	MR. CHADICK: Can you answer both of them, sir?
11	MS. BIRENBAUM: You can only ask one question at
12	a time. Otherwise it's going to be subject to a compound
13	objection.
14	MR. CHADICK: Answer, if you can, please.
15	MS. BIRENBAUM: Vague and ambiguous. It's
16	overbroad. If you can answer it, the two questions he's
17	posed, you can feel free to, over my objections. If you
18	understand what he's asking you with those two questions.
19	THE WITNESS: Counsel, what was the question
20	again?
21	BY MR. CHADICK:
22	Q Did anybody that you recall talk to you and
23	prompt you, as a result of the discussion, to check out
24	the Natalie Wood murder file which you did in November of
25	2007?

1	A No, sir, not that I recall.
2	Q Was there anything unique about your knowledge
3	relating to the Natalie Wood murder file that caused you
4	to check it out to put a fresh set of eyes on it?
5	A Nothing that I recall.
6	Q Did you provide intentionally any other person
7	other than the librarian, Mr. Lusk, access to the Natalie
8	Wood murder investigation file?
9	A No, sir.
LO	Q And in terms of the locked cabinet, just again
L1	want to know how that works. Was it combination lock? A
L2	key? Could other people get access to it?
L3	A It was a key.
L4	Q And who maintained the key to your locked
15	cabinet?
16	A It was a cabinet assigned to me. It was like
17	five drawers and one lock at the top that locked
18	everything. And I had the key.
19	Q Can you state with certainty that when you were
20	not actively looking at the Natalie Wood murder
21	investigation file while you had it checked out, that it
22	would have been under lock and key and inaccessible?
23	A Yes.
24	MS. BIRENBAUM: It calls for speculation. I'm
25	going to belatedly say that. But okay.

1	BY MR. CHADICK:
2	Q Generally speaking, do you recall if there
3	were and I don't want to know the content. I just
4	want to ask the question.
5	Do you recall if there were photographs in that
6	file that you checked out regarding the Natalie Wood
7	murder investigation?
8	MS. BIRENBAUM: Objection. Under Haney, you are
9	getting into asking for an inventory. So I'll let the
10	witness answer this, but nothing further along this that
11	looks for an inventory.
12	THE WITNESS: There were no photographs in the
13	file.
14	MR. CHADICK: Okay. Let's take a very short
15	break, sir. Thanks for your patience. It is 3:40. If
16	we can reconvene at 3:45, then I'll tell you how much
17	longer I'll go and we'll be able to wrap this up.
18	If I inadvertently hit this button that starts
19	recording again, I'll ask Ms. Court Reporter or someone
20	to let me know. Thank you.
21	MS. BIRENBAUM: Thank you.
22	(Recess taken.)
23	MR. CHADICK: We're back on the record.
24	I've just got a couple more questions. No
25	longer than 10 minutes.

1	BY MR. CHADICK:					
2	Q I understand when you checked out the Natalie					
3	Wood murder investigation file in November of 2007, that					
4	was in the library. Do you have any awareness of who was					
5	in charge of the file at that point in time?					
6	A Well, it would have been Scott Lusk. He was the					
7	librarian.					
8	Q Okay.					
9	A Counsel, just for clarification, it wasn't a					
10	murder case at that time, and it still isn't. It's a					
11	death investigation.					
12	Q Thank you for telling me that. Okay.					
13	And just to make sure, when I have said in the					
14	course of this deposition "murder investigation file,"					
15	you understood that I meant what you now explained to me,					
16	thankfully, as the death investigation file, correct?					
17	A Yes, sir.					
18	Q Okay. Thanks.					
19	When you had the file, sir, the death					
20	investigation of Natalie Wood file, did you remove or add					
21	anything to the file?					
22	A No, sir.					
23	MR. CHADICK: Okay. Thank you so much. I					
24	appreciate your time and patience today.					
25	THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. Are we all done?					

1	MS. BIRENBAUM: Just a moment. The court					
2	reporter will unmute herself, I believe, and go over some					
3	final details with you.					
4	THE REPORTER: Counsel, are we going by Code or					
5	will you be putting a stipulation on the record?					
6	MS. BIRENBAUM: However the noticing counsel					
7	would like to handle it, I'm amenable to that.					
8	MR. CHADICK: Tell me what you mean.					
9	THE REPORTER: Do you want this discussion on					
10	the record?					
11	MS. BIRENBAUM: Can we speak off the record					
12	since it's a technicality about the transcript handling,					
13	or we can stay on the record.					
14	MR. CHADICK: If you are asking me, of course we					
15	can go off the record. I thought we were off the record.					
16	(Recess taken.)					
17	BY MR. CHADICK:					
18	Q Okay. So we're back on the record. I just got					
19	one more question. Sorry.					
20	I just want to make sure, sir, I know you didn't					
21	remove anything from the file. Did you copy anything					
22	from the death investigation file of Natalie Wood?					
23	A No, sir, I did not.					
24	MR. CHADICK: Okay. Thank you for your patience					
25	today.					

And now if you want to just paraphrase the stipulation that we are going to agree to.

MS. BIRENBAUM: Sure. We stipulate to relieve the court reporter of her duties. We stipulate that the witness has -- would 20 days be sufficient to review the transcript after you receive it?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MS. BIRENBAUM: So the witness has 20 days to review the transcript. He will notify my office of any changes. I will notify petitioner's counsel of any changes that Mr. Groten- -- I'm not even going to try to pronounce it. I'm sorry. It's the end of a long day for me. I will notify petitioner's counsel of any changes the witness has made to the transcript.

My office agrees to maintain custody of the signed original transcript. If for some reason the signed original is lost or destroyed or otherwise unavailable, the parties stipulate that a certified unsigned copy of the transcript can be used in this matter.

MR. CHADICK: We stipulate to all those things. The only thing I'd just clarify is, the first stipulation to relieve the court reporter of all her duties, not all of them. But her duties as it relates to having the witness read and review the transcript, yes.

		wearing the control of the control o
1	moderad	All right, that's good. Thanks. We are off the
2	record.	
3		MS. BIRENBAUM: Thank you.
4		THE REPORTER: That concludes this deposition.
5		
6		(Deposition concluded at 1:54 p.m.)
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
د 2		

1	DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY						
2							
3	I, Albert Grotefend, do hereby certify under penalty						
4	of perjury that I have read the foregoing transcript of						
5	my deposition taken on October 28, 2021; that I have made						
6	such corrections as appear noted on the Deposition Errata						
7	Page, attached hereto, signed by me; that my testimony as						
8	contained herein, as corrected, is true and correct.						
9							
10	Dated thisday of, 2021,						
11	at,						
12	California.						
13							
14	Albert Grotefend						
15	Albert Grotelend						
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							

SAMUEL A. PERRONI VS ALEX VILLANUEVA., 21STCP00108 October 28, 2021

		36
1	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET	
2	Dago No. Line No.	
41-510	Page No Line No	
4 5	Change:	
	Reason for change:	
6	Page No Line No	
7	Change:	
8	Reason for change:	
9	Page No Line No	
10	Change:	
11	Reason for change:	
12	Page No Line No	
13	Change:	
14	Reason for change:	
15	Page No Line No	
16	Change:	
17	Reason for change:	
18	Page No Line No	
19	Change:	
20	Reason for change:	
21	Page No Line No	
22	Change:	
23	Reason for change:	
24		
25	Albert Grotefend Dated	

- 1	
1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
2	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)
3	
4	
5	I, Christina Dominguez, CSR 12516, Certified
6	Shorthand Reporter, do hereby certify:
7	That prior to being examined, the witness named in
8	the foregoing deposition was by me duly sworn;
9	That said deposition was taken down by me in
10	shorthand at the time and place therein named and
11	thereafter transcribed under my direction;
12	I further certify that I am neither counsel for, nor
13	related to, any party to said proceedings, not in any way
14	interested in the outcome thereof.
15	I declare under penalty of perjury under the law of
16	the State of California that the foregoing is true and
17	correct.
18	
19	Dated: November 9, 2021
20	
	(Withina Demingage)
21	Christina Dominguez
22	CSR No. 12516
23	
24	
25	
	1

		1		
& 2:4,11	ago 12:20	22:20 24:7,24	argumentative	band 20:4,5
_	3	25:15,17 26:2,12	24:7 25:20	<i>,</i>
21stcp00108 1:6	agree 5:10 33:2	27:10 28:10,14,16		banker 20:9
i -		30:10 (22)	arkansas 9:21	
30th 21:14	agrees 33:15	, ,		bar 7:5
		answered 25:12	around 12:3,24	
able 30:17	ahead 18:1		20:5	barer 2:11
		answering 6:13		
abusing 24:19	al 4:3	28:9	ask 5:9 6:18 12:1	based 7:3 8:25
27:21			22:6 25:22 26:13	
	alb@pvandfcom	anybody 28:22	28:8,11 30:4,19	basically 10:9
access 21:1 25:4	2:14		(10)	13:22
29:7,12 (4)		anyone 23:14,24		
	albert 1:14 3:3	25:10 28:8 (4)	asked 6:16 8:7	became 14:4
according 8:18	4:2 5:8,22 6:6		15:7 18:13 19:14	
	35:3,14 36:25 (9)	anything 10:25	25:12 26:14 (7)	because 11:17
account 25:3		11:18 17:10,11		22:8
	alex 1:7 4:3	23:16 24:23 25:10	asking 6:12 7:16	
act 7:11 26:19,24		26:23 27:12,20,24	8:15 9:8 25:21	becoming 15:23
0.10	allowing 24:24	29:2 31:21	26:16 27:4	hafawa (.19.19.17
action 9:19	alone 25:15	32:21,21 (15)	28:7,18 30:9	before 6:18 13:17
26:4,6	alone 25:15	apologies 6:18	32:14 (11)	16:21 21:7 22:14
26.17	along 20:10	8:12	aggion 12:25	(5)
active 26:17	along 30:10	0.12	assign 13:25	began 9:18
actively 29:20	already 22:9	appear 35:6	assigned 10:11	negan 7.10
actively 29.20	25:12 26:15	appear 30.0	12:16,23 15:24	being 5:5 7:21
activities 27:5	20.12 20.10	appearances 2:1	16:13 29:16 (6)	8:7 25:24 37:7 (5)
401111103 41.5	also 2:17 24:7	appearances a.1	10.10 25.10 (0)	0.7 25.2 (57.7 (5)
activity 22:15		appreciate 13:3	assignments 12:1	belatedly 29:25
23:3,9	am 7:10,12,24,25	31:24]
,	8:13 9:18,20,21		assist 10:9	believe 12:20
actually 18:10,11	25:21 37:12 (10)	appropriate		16:10 21:9 23:24
19:7]	7:2,8	assists 27:16	25:7,7,19 32:2 (8)
	ambiguous			
add 31:20	6:11,15,25 10:21	approximate	assumes 18:1	bernardino
	14:15 16:1 18:2	20:14		9:13,15
address 6:12	19:22 22:19 28:15		attached 35:7	
8:10 9:11	(10)	approximately	,,	berth 27:2
		13:22 24:11	attorney 5:13 7:9	1
administering	amenable 32:7		44	best 22:5
5:11	1.0.0	april 21:11,18	attorneyclient	hotton 26:1
	angeles 1:2,8	22:8,12 (4)	11:12	better 26:1
admitted 7:4,12 9:21	2:13 8:8 10:4,14 13:2 16:12 37:2	ar 2:6	aware 12:14	between 11:19
7,41	(9)	a1 2.0	15:24 16:7 22:15	23:4
after 22:18,25	(3)	area 19:5	23:14 (5)	43.7
33:6	anna 2:11 5:16	u10a 17.5	23.17 (3)	beyond 26:3
		areas 10:12	awareness 31:4	20,000
again 22:22	answer 3:16	25:15		big 20:2
25:19 28:20 29:10	10:22,23 11:13		back 18:23	
30:19 (5)	13:20 14:16 15:15	arguing 8:5 25:7	19:10,13 30:23	binding 5:12
, ,	16:2 19:2,23		32:18 (5)	
L		•	·	

birenbaum 2:11 5:16,16	call 14:7 20:3	certified 33:18 37:5	civil 8:19 9:1	26:2,8,25 27:3 (5)
6:11,14,15,23	called 13:24	37,3	clarification 31:9	consisted 15:13
7:19,21 8:17,25		certify 35:3	1 16 22.22	14.04
9:5 10:21 11:11 13:19 14:15 16:1	calls 24:6 29:24	37:6,12	clarify 33:22	consists 14:24
18:1 19:22 22:19 24:6,17 25:9	came 17:20,22	chadick 2:4 3:5 5:14,14	clear 27:4	contact 15:12
26:1,13,21 27:11 28:6,11,15 29:24	can 7:5,11 8:13 9:11,11,22	6:3,13,17,23 7:12,20 8:12,22	clearly 26:7	contained 20:6 35:8
30:8,21 32:1,6,11	10:9,11,22,23	9:2,6,7,17 10:22	client 26:7	33.6
33:3,8 34:3 (39)	11:13,23 12:5,12 13:15,20 14:13,16	11:1,15 14:1,19 16:5 18:5 20:1	client's 9:20	containing 19:25
both 28:10	16:1 19:23,23 20:11,11,14 22:19	22:24 24:10,25 25:1,19 26:9,20	coach 6:21	content 30:3
boulevard 2:12	24:7,22 25:16 26:21 27:3,11,16	27:4,23 28:10,14,21	coaching 25:21	context 11:3
box 20:9	28:10,11,14,16,17 29:19 30:16	30:1,14,23 31:1,23 32:8,14,17,24	cocounsel 5:14	control 8:15
boy 20:4,7,16	32:11,13,15 33:19 (43)	33:21 (46)	9:18	conversation 12:13
boys 20:9	can't 7:1	change 36:4,5,7,8 ,10,11,13,14,16,17	code 8:19 9:1 32:4	conversations
break 30:15	17:20,21 25:17 (4)	,19,20,22,23 (14)	college 13:2	11:18
bring 18:9	capacity 1:7	changes 33:10,11,13	combination	сору 32:21 33:19
brought 20:13 22:22	careful 11:17	charge 14:8,12	29:11	corina 22:23
building	case 1:6 9:21,22 14:8,10,11,14,17,2	31:5	commentary 6:22 7:14	corporate 2:5
18:15,20,21,24	3,23,23,24,25	-check 17:4,8 18:6		-correct 8:24,25
19:1,5,5,6,8,10 (10)	15:6,7,8 17:9 18:8 19:24 20:15 22:22	20:22,24 21:9 27:25 28:4,23	commerce 18:22 19:6,11,16 (4)	12:9 16:10,17 17:6 19:11,16,18
huron 10:10 14	23:12,14 24:8	29:4 (10)		1 21.12 18 10
bureau 10:10,16	28:4 31:10 (26)		commercial 19:5	21:12,18,19 22:9,13,14,25
12:17,23 13:13,17 14:4,9 21:14 24:3	28:4 31:10 (26) cases 14:12	checked 15:9,17 16:16,22 17:14	commercial 19:5 compound 28:12	22:9,13,14,25 23:6,19,20 26:12 27:15 31:16 35:8
12:17,23 13:13,17 14:4,9 21:14 24:3 (10)		16:16,22 17:14 18:7,13 20:13 22:18 23:5,18	compound 28:12 comprised 13:22	22:9,13,14,25 23:6,19,20 26:12 27:15 31:16 35:8 37:17 (24)
12:17,23 13:13,17 14:4,9 21:14 24:3 (10) button 30:18	cases 14:12	16:16,22 17:14 18:7,13 20:13	compound 28:12 comprised 13:22 14:17	22:9,13,14,25 23:6,19,20 26:12 27:15 31:16 35:8 37:17 (24) corrected 35:8
12:17,23 13:13,17 14:4,9 21:14 24:3 (10)	cases 14:12 category 14:13	16:16,22 17:14 18:7,13 20:13 22:18 23:5,18 29:21 30:6 31:2 (14) checking 15:5	compound 28:12 comprised 13:22	22:9,13,14,25 23:6,19,20 26:12 27:15 31:16 35:8 37:17 (24) corrected 35:8 corrections 35:6
12:17,23 13:13,17 14:4,9 21:14 24:3 (10) button 30:18	cases 14:12 category 14:13 caused 29:3	16:16,22 17:14 18:7,13 20:13 22:18 23:5,18 29:21 30:6 31:2 (14) checking 15:5 23:12,14	compound 28:12 comprised 13:22 14:17 computer 15:13	22:9,13,14,25 23:6,19,20 26:12 27:15 31:16 35:8 37:17 (24) corrected 35:8 corrections 35:6 could 17:12 20:18 21:16
12:17,23 13:13,17 14:4,9 21:14 24:3 (10) button 30:18 ca 2:13 cabinet 24:9,11 29:10,15,16 (5) california 1:1	cases 14:12 category 14:13 caused 29:3 center 2:5 central 1:2 certain 11:5 22:1	16:16,22 17:14 18:7,13 20:13 22:18 23:5,18 29:21 30:6 31:2 (14) checking 15:5 23:12,14 children 13:2	compound 28:12 comprised 13:22 14:17 computer 15:13 20:22,25	22:9,13,14,25 23:6,19,20 26:12 27:15 31:16 35:8 37:17 (24) corrected 35:8 corrections 35:6
12:17,23 13:13,17 14:4,9 21:14 24:3 (10) button 30:18 ca 2:13 cabinet 24:9,11 29:10,15,16 (5) california 1:1 6:24,24 7:3,5,5,13,14 8:25	cases 14:12 category 14:13 caused 29:3 center 2:5 central 1:2 certain 11:5 22:1 25:2	16:16,22 17:14 18:7,13 20:13 22:18 23:5,18 29:21 30:6 31:2 (14) checking 15:5 23:12,14	compound 28:12 comprised 13:22 14:17 computer 15:13 20:22,25 concluded 34:6 concludes 34:4 conducted 1:19	22:9,13,14,25 23:6,19,20 26:12 27:15 31:16 35:8 37:17 (24) corrected 35:8 corrections 35:6 could 17:12 20:18 21:16 22:7,11 23:18
12:17,23 13:13,17 14:4,9 21:14 24:3 (10) button 30:18 ca 2:13 cabinet 24:9,11 29:10,15,16 (5) california 1:1 6:24,24	cases 14:12 category 14:13 caused 29:3 center 2:5 central 1:2 certain 11:5 22:1	16:16,22 17:14 18:7,13 20:13 22:18 23:5,18 29:21 30:6 31:2 (14) checking 15:5 23:12,14 children 13:2 christina 1:24	compound 28:12 comprised 13:22 14:17 computer 15:13 20:22,25 concluded 34:6 concludes 34:4	22:9,13,14,25 23:6,19,20 26:12 27:15 31:16 35:8 37:17 (24) corrected 35:8 corrections 35:6 could 17:12 20:18 21:16 22:7,11 23:18 24:4 29:12 (8)
12:17,23 13:13,17 14:4,9 21:14 24:3 (10) button 30:18 ca 2:13 cabinet 24:9,11 29:10,15,16 (5) california 1:1 6:24,24 7:3,5,5,13,14 8:25 26:18,24 35:12	cases 14:12 category 14:13 caused 29:3 center 2:5 central 1:2 certain 11:5 22:1 25:2	16:16,22 17:14 18:7,13 20:13 22:18 23:5,18 29:21 30:6 31:2 (14) checking 15:5 23:12,14 children 13:2 christina 1:24 4:5 37:5,21 (4)	compound 28:12 comprised 13:22 14:17 computer 15:13 20:22,25 concluded 34:6 concludes 34:4 conducted 1:19	22:9,13,14,25 23:6,19,20 26:12 27:15 31:16 35:8 37:17 (24) corrected 35:8 corrections 35:6 could 17:12 20:18 21:16 22:7,11 23:18 24:4 29:12 (8) couldn't 17:1

11:14,19 24:18,22 25:16 26:2,15	declare 37:15	discussion 28:23 32:9	employed 12:18 16:12	experience 7:9
27:18 28:6,19 31:9 32:4,6 33:10,13 37:12	defendants 1:10 2:10	district 1:2	employee 8:8	explain 15:10 24:22 25:16 27:16 (4)
(24)	demeaned 7:10	documents 3:12 26:17,18	end 14:6 21:13 33:12	explained 26:15
county 1:2,7 9:13,15 10:4,14 11:7 12:18 13:3	demeaning 7:17 department 8:8	does 1:1 10:7	enforcement 10:10	31:15 explanation
16:12,17 18:25 19:4,9 37:2 (15)	10:5,14 11:7 12:19 16:12,17	doing 8:13,14,15 23:16 (4)	entertain 27:17	15:14 eyes 17:10,18
couple 30:24	19:1,9 27:14 (10) deposition 1:14	dominguez 1:24 4:5 37:5,21 (4)	entirely 7:17 8:4,11	22:16 23:12 28:1,2,5 29:4 (8)
course 9:6 23:18 31:14 32:14 (4)	4:2 5:5,8 7:25 11:10,21 12:8 31:14 34:4,6	done 6:17 8:16 17:12 31:25 (4)	errata 35:6 36:1	fact 17:4
court 1:1 26:6 30:19 32:1	35:5,6 36:1 37:8,9 (16)	down 37:9	esq 2:4,11	familiar 11:2,3
33:4,23 (6) covid19 5:5	deputy 10:3,8,13	drawers 29:17	establish 27:12 et 4:3	fantastic 7:19 far 24:18 25:17
covid19 5:5 cpra 24:18,19,23	describe 14:13	drive 2:5	even 11:4 33:11	27:1,19 (4)
25:18 26:4,6 27:12 (7)	description 4:7	due 5:4	ever 12:13	feel 28:17
csr 1:25 4:5 37:5,22 (4)	desk 24:3,5,12 destroyed 33:17	duly 5:23 37:8 during 23:15	every 13:24	few 9:23 fight 8:10
current 5:4	details 32:3	27:6	everything 15:2 29:18	figure 9:3 20:12
currently 10:1	detective 12:16 14:5	duties 13:16,23 33:4,23,24 (5)	exact 16:20 17:20,22	file 14:10,11,14,1 7,23,24
custody 25:4,5 33:15	detectives 14:21 15:12	each 14:11,23,23,24 (4)	examination 3:1,4 6:1	15:3,6,8,9,18 16:17,23 17:5,14,18
date 5:7 16:20 17:4 21:14 (4)	didn't 15:15 20:24 26:13 32:20	effort 19:20 either 7:2 8:23	examined 5:23 37:7	18:6,9,13 19:15,15,21,25 20: 2,4,8,12,13,15,19,
dated 35:10 36:25 37:19	(4)	24:14 25:3 (4)	example 14:25	23 21:2,4,5,10,11, 18,21
day 33:12	different 10:12 digest 13:15	elastic 20:5	exclusively 12:9	22:3,8,12,17,18 23:5,19,21,23 24:1,4,9,11,16
days 24:14 33:5,8	digging 24:18	23:14,18,21 25:11 (6)	exhibits 4:1	25:4,5 26:10,16 27:8,14,25 28:24
death 16:13 31:11,16,19 32:22 (5)	26:5 direction 23:16	email 18:14	expand 20:18	29:3,8,21 30:6,13 31:3,5,14,16,19,20 ,21 32:21,22 (74)
declaration 35:1	37:11	emergency 5:5	20:17	files 14:8 18:7

20:25	general 6:12 16:3	handling 26:10 32:12	his 1:7 6:12 8:7,10 14:3 15:5	idea 6:12
final 32:3	generally 30:2	haney 30:8	25:21,23,24 26:10,16 27:5 (12)	identify 5:9
find 7:7	get 9:3 29:12	happy 27:3,17	hit 30:18	image 7:23
first 5:23 9:24	getting 18:11 20:21 30:9		held 11:16	implication 7:13
14:25 33:22 (4)		has 14:11,23 20:4 24:22 26:17 27:20		inaccessible
five 29:17	given 13:8 27:1	33:5,8,14 (9)	homicide 10:10,16 11:4,6	29:22
follow 6:19	go 18:1,6,23 30:17 32:2,15 (6)	having 5:23 33:24	12:16,23 13:12,16,24,25 14:	inactive 16:8 17:15
follows 5:24	going 6:18 7:25	he 8:7 11:4 12:18	4,8,11,14,20,23,25 15:24 17:21 21:14	inadvertently
foregoing 35:4 37:8,16	11:11 26:4 27:12,19 28:12	14:5,7,7 15:7,10,10,17 18:9	24:3 (21)	30:18
form 6:20,21	29:25 32:4 33:2,11 (11)	25:14,14,23 26:11,14,14,15,16	hopefully 25:22	inappropriate 8:4,11
13:15	gone 13:2 15:11	27:8,14 31:6 33:9 (23)	hours 24:14 25:9,14	inch 20:19
forth 26:25	21:13 24:18 25:17 26:3 27:1 (7)	he's 12:20 14:12	how 9:3 10:13	inches
forward 7:11 8:6	good 34:1	25:9,11,12 28:9,16,18 (8)	17:13,23 18:10,11 20:2 21:4	20:14,16,17,18 (4)
foundation 26:1,8,21,25	got 9:23 13:24	hear 11:18	24:14,22 25:9,10,22	include 14:22
27:3,11,17,22 (8)	30:24 32:18 (4)	heard 9:17	26:11,13,14,15,22 27:7,12,13,16	included 14:13 15:3
four 20:18 24:5	gotten 13:8	help 10:11	29:11 30:16 (24)	inclusive 1:9
francis 6:6	granular 18:11	helpful 15:14	however 7:7 32:6	inconsistent
free 28:17	grooms 2:4	her 33:4,23,24	i'd 33:22	16:24
fresh 17:10,18 22:16 23:11	grotefend 1:14 3:3 4:2 5:8,19,22	here 7:10 18:11	i'll 20:11 24:23 26:2,8	index 3:1 4:1
28:1,2,5 29:4 (8)	6:6,7 35:3,14 36:25 (11)	25:13 27:2,18 (5)	30:9,16,17,19 (8)	indicate 16:22
full 6:4,12	groten 33:11	hereby 35:3 37:6	i'm 6:13,17,18 7:9,16 8:14,14,15	information 3:8 24:19
functionally 8:15	guess 12:24	herein 35:8	9:8 10:11 11:4,11,16 12:4,14	infringe 11:12
functions 10:10	hac 7:13 9:22	hereto 35:7	16:20 18:10 23:7 25:2,7 27:2 3 4 12 17 18	initially 14:5
further 16:4 30:10 37:12	hand 5:20	herself 32:2	27:2,3,4,13,17,18 29:24 32:7	inquiry 25:8,16
gather 23:2	handle 13:25	high 20:17	33:11,12 (30) i've 9:22 10:16	instead 8:5
24:19	32:7	him 8:7 10:19,25 18:16 25:12,22	11:14 13:1 27:1	instructed 3:16
gave 15:7	handled 5:6	26:2,12,13,23 27:7,9,13 (13)	30:24 (6)	instructing 26:12

·		·		
27:9,13	isn't 31:10	last 12:21 13:17	line 3:9,13,17	maintain 33:15
		21:14 22:6 (4)	25:8 36:3,6,9,12,1	
intentionally	issues 26:5,24		5,18,21 (11)	maintained
29:6		law 7:4,12 10:10		29:14
	job 9:24 13:12,16	37:15 (4)	locality 6:10,16	
interested 37:14	14:3 (4)		8:7 9:12 (4)	maintaining
interested 37:11	1 (35 (1)	lawyers 12:3	(.)	14:12
0.01 04.10	±== 10,20.25,22	1411 yets 12.5	located 6:7 9:8	17,12
into 9:21 24:18	jog 19:20 25:23	1 06 1 7 01	II	
26:4 30:9 (4)	_	lay 26:1,7,21	19:10,15 24:12 (5)	make 6:24 7:2,7
	john 22:22	27:3 (4)		8:9 12:12 15:15
inventory			lock 29:11,17,22	18:8,12 19:2
30:9,11	judge 24:20	lead 25:6		21:15 25:2 26:9
30,3,11	J		locked 24:9,11	27:4,9 31:13
	:4 6.12 9.14	logged 10:5 6 15	29:10,14,17 (5)	32:20 (16)
investigate 16:13	just 6:12 8:14	leased 19:5,6,15	29.10,14,17 (3)	32.20 (10)
	9:8,12,22 11:25			
investigation	12:1,2,12 13:7	leasing 19:9	lodge 7:15	making 25:20
15:2,25 16:8,16,23	14:17 15:11 16:3			
17:5,12,15,18,25	17:9,22 18:8,16	least 15:9	log 8:21 20:22	manila 20:4
19:14 20:8,23	19:20,24	10.00		
		lod 27,25	long 8:2 10:13	manner 7:8,18
21:1,5,10,17,21	20:10,11,19 21:15	led 27:25		
22:2,8,12,17	23:24 24:19		12:20 17:13 21:4	8:3
23:3,10,22 24:2,16	25:2,11 26:5	let 6:24 11:16	33:12 (6)	
25:24 27:6,25	27:9,19 28:3	25:17 27:4		manning 22:4
29:8,21 30:7	29:10 30:3,24	30:9,20 (6)	longer 19:7,9	
31:3,11,14,16,20	31:9,13 32:1,18,20	0015,20 (0)	30:17,25 (4)	many 7:9 20:20
		let's 5:13 30:14	30.17,23 (1)	25:9
32:22 (39)	33:1,22 (41)	let's 5,15 50.14	1 10.01	23.9
			look 19:21	- 40 4 -
investigators	justifies 25:8	letting 26:2		march 10:15
13:22,25 14:20			looked 23:21,24	13:6,18 21:7,13,14
,	key	librarian 14:7	25:3,9,11 28:2 (6)	(6)
investigatory	29:12,13,14,18,22	18:18 29:7 31:7	''	
26:4		(4)	looking 27:20	marked 4:7,9
20:4	(5)	(4)		markeu 7.7,5
			29:20	
involved 25:24	kinds 14:22	library 22:3,4		materials
		31:4	looks 30:11	11:10,21,23 12:8
is 5:5,7,7,8,11	know 6:14 10:18,			24:1 (5)
6:10 7:24 8:4,7,11	18,18,19,20,25	licensed 7:9	los 1:2,8 2:13 8:8	
,12,19,19,22	12:3 13:3 15:20		10:4,14 13:2	matter 25:18
	1			33:20
9:17,20,24	17:1,4	lieutenant 12:24	16:12 37:2 (9)	33,20
10:4,6,17	21:6,6,22,25	13:12,16,21 14:4		1
11:2,3,20	22:7,11 28:2,3	15:23 16:6 22:22	lost 33:17	may 11:4,6
12:6,9,15,18,21	29:11 30:3,20	(8)		1
13:1 14:10,13	32:20 (24)		lusk 12:15,16,22	maybe 16:21
15:1,1,14,20	(- 1)	like 6:25 12:1,4	14:2	20:17,18
	Impauladae 20.2	19:21 29:16 32:7	15:4,5,9,17,20	20,11,10
18:7,24 19:2,8,9	knowledge 29:2			7.0 0.2 6 0.2
20:12 21:12		(6)	18:9,12,17 19:18	me 7:8 8:3,6 9:24
22:1,21 23:22	knows 24:20		22:3 29:7 31:6	11:16,23 13:15
24:17 25:2		likewise 22:6	(16)	15:7 18:9
26:3,6,12	1 2:11			20:11,14 25:16
27:6,7,15 28:9		limited 12:9	lynn 10:17 12:13	27:4,7 29:16
30:15 33:17,22	la 11:7 12:18	15:12	1,111 1011, 12,10	30:20 31:12,15
1		13,12	made 20.7 22.14	
35:8 37:16 (59)	16:17 18:25	1	made 28:7 33:14	
	19:4,8 (6)	limiting 27:2	35:5	35:7 37:8,9 (24)
I		1	_L	

				
mean 9:9 10:7	26:11,13,14,16	news 16:3	object 7:23 11:11	(11)
11:7 17:3 32:8 (5)	27:7,13 30:16		13:19	` '
11.7 17.3 32.0 (3)	31:23 (14)	next 24:13	15.15	others 19:2
means 5:6 10:9	31.23 (14)	HEAT 24,13	objection	others 19.2
means 5:0 10:9	16.7.00	1.6054.5	5:11,15,17 6:20,20	otherwise 7:14
. 24.45	murder 16:7,23	no 1:6,25 4:5		
meant 31:15	17:5,14,17,25	5:11,15,17 12:20	7:15 8:1,22 28:13	9:12 11:13 28:12
	19:14 20:8,13,23	15:19,22 16:15	30:8 (10)	33:17 (5)
medical 14:21	21:1,5,10,17,21	17:7,16 19:7,9		
	22:2,8,12,17	20:10,24,24 21:3	objections 6:25	out 9:3 10:12
memory 16:25	23:4,5,10,22	23:17,18 25:15	7:3,7 25:20 28:17	13:24 15:6,9,17
19:21 25:23	24:2,15 27:25	29:1,9 30:12,24	(5)	16:16,22 17:4,8,14
13,121,20,120	28:24 29:3,8,20	31:22 32:23 36:3,		18:6,7,13
might 12:1 26:22	30:7 31:3,10,14	3,6,6,9,9,12,12,15,	obtain 8:10	20:12,14,22,24
inight 12.1 20.22			Obtain 6.10	21:9 22:18
17.00	(34)	15,18,18,21,21	22.17	
mind 17:22		37:22 (42)	occurred 22:17	23:5,12,14,19
	murdered 15:1		23:4,11	24:20 27:25
minutes 30:25		non 7:16,17		28:5,23 29:4,21
	my 5:11 6:9,18		october 1:16 4:4	30:6 31:2 (32)
miss 15:15	7:22,23,23 8:5	none 4:9 8:23	5:2,7 35:5 (5)	
	9:10,17,20			outcome 37:14
missed 17:11	11:14,20,25 12:2	nor 37:12	off 9:3	
11115500	13:23 14:6,6		32:11,15,15 34:1	over 11:25 28:17
moment 6:8 9:21	15:12,13 21:14	note 7:20 8:17,21	(5)	32:2
32:1	22:5 24:3,9 26:10	Hote 7.20 0.17,21		34.4
32,1		noted 35:6	office 33:9,15	overbroad 28:16
	27:7 28:17	noted 33.0	office 33.9,13	Overbroad 20.10
months 24:5	33:9,15 35:5,7		10.00	2 4 0 12 17
	37:11 (31)	nothing 16:4	officer 12:22	page 3:4,9,13,17
more 23:7 30:24		17:3 26:17 27:20	14:2 22:3	4:7 35:7 36:3,6,9,
32:19	myself 23:25	29:5 30:10 (6)		12,15,18,21 (13)
			official 1:7	
morning 24:21	name 6:4 9:17,20	notice 13:8		paraphrase 33:1
26:7	10:18 11:2,3 (6)		olympic 2:12	' '
	*************************************	noticing 5:13		particular 12:4
most 12:4	named 37:7,10	32:6	one 9:18 14:5	partitude 221
most 12.4	nameu 37.7,10	32.0	17:1 19:1 20:7,10	parties 33:18
7.11.0.6	4.11.			parties 33.16
move 7:11 8:6	natalie	notify 33:9,10,13	21:3 23:7,18	0.00.07.10
	15:6,18,25		28:11 29:17 32:19	party 9:20 37:13
ms 5:16	16:7,13,16,23	noting 8:4	(12)	
6:11,14,15,23	17:5,14,17,25			pass 7:4
7:19,21 8:17,25	19:14 20:7,13,22	november 16:24	ongoing 16:9	
9:5 10:21 11:11	21:4,10,17,21	17:5 23:5,12	27:5	past 25:24 26:23
13:19 14:15 16:1	22:2,7,12,17	28:24 31:3 37:19		_
18:1 19:22 22:19	23:4,10,22 24:2,15	(7)	only 8:19,23 19:1	patience 30:15
24:6,17 25:9	27:24 28:24		22:21 25:12,21	31:24 32:24
26:1,13,21 27:11	29:3,7,20 30:6	now 5:18 9:9	28:11 33:22 (8)	31,2,32,21
			20,11 33,22 (0)	penalty 35:1,3
28:6,11,15 29:24	31:2,20 32:22 (37)	15:21 26:3 27:1,2	onnosina 7:04	•
30:8,19,21		28:7 31:15 33:1	opposing 7:24	37:15
32:1,6,11 33:3,8	national 5:4	(9)		1 25 2 6 23
34:3 (38)		1	original 33:16,17	people 25:3,6,23
	need 8:10 9:11	o 2:4		29:12 (4)
much 13:1,4			other 16:8 17:2	1
15:3,13 24:14	neither 37:12	oath 5:12	22:15 25:3,6,23	perfect 9:5,16
25:22			28:1,2 29:6,7,12	
		1		<u> </u>

				
period 24:5 27:6	pointed 24:20	produced 26:18	18:3 26:5 27:2,18 (6)	related 37:13
perjury 35:1,4 37:15	pollak 2:11	professional 7:17	realm 27:20	relates 33:24
37,13	poor 20:4,7,8,16	professionally	1,111	relating 23:3,9
permission 7:22	(4)	7:11	reason 7:23	29:3
1		111	17:20,22 25:25	
perroni 1:4 2:18	posed 26:3 28:17	promoted 14:3	33:16 36:5,8,11,14	relationship
4:3 5:15 9:20 (5)			,17,20,23 (12)	11:12
	position 9:24	prompt 28:23		
person 18:16	13:17	22.12	recall	relevance 25:15
29:6		pronounce 33:12	17:1,13,20,21,23 18:3,16,19 19:4,24	relevant 13:19
	possible 17:2	proper 7:24	20:3,25 21:6	14:16 24:17
personal 22:16	possibly 7:1	proper 7:24	22:21 28:4,22	14.10 24.17
personally 9:19	16:21	provide 29:6	29:1,5 30:2,5 (20)	relieve 33:3,23
10:19 22:2	10.21	provide 25.0	27.1,3 30.2,3 (20)	10000 55.5,25
10,19 22.2	potential 11:11	public 26:18,24	receive 33:6	remote 5:6
personnel 14:21	1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,	<u>.</u> =, = .		
F • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	potentially 26:23	purpose 25:20	received 20:3,10	remotely 1:19
petition 26:19,25	Î	26:6		
27:13,17,21 (5)	practice 6:23		recess 30:22	remove 31:20
	7:4,5,12 9:22	put 17:10,18 28:5	32:16	32:21
petitioner 5:15	25:22 (6)	29:4 (4)	** .4	, 11 16 22 7
9:19		44. 22.16	recollection	repeat 11:16 23:7
	preparation	putting 22:16	20:21 21:20,22,24	
petitioner's	11:10,21 12:7	23:11 32:5	22:5 (5)	report 15:1
33:10,13	present 2:17	quattlebaum 2:4	reconsider 27:22	reported 1:23
photographs	present 2.17	quatticbaum 2.7	reconstuer 27.22	reported 1.23
30:5,12	pretty 15:2,13	question 6:21 7:1	reconstruct	reporter 5:4,18
50.5,12	protty 10,2,15	10:23 11:17,20	18:23	30:19 32:2,4,9
physically 6:7	primarily 10:10	22:6 25:8,25		33:4,23 34:4 37:6
18:10,12		26:3,10 27:7,8,22	reconvene 30:16	(10)
	prior 15:23 17:11	28:9,11,19 30:4		
place 7:25 37:10	21:11 37:7 (4)	32:19 (18)	record 5:11 6:4	reports
	7.13.0.51		7:24 8:1,4,18,23	14:18,22,24 16:4
plaintiff 1:5	pro 7:13 9:21	questions 6:13	9:18 26:9 30:23 3	17:11 19:25
2:3,18		9:23 25:13 27:5,19	2:5,10,11,13,15,15	20:6,18,20 (9)
please 5:19	probably 12:23 16:20 20:15,19 (4)	28:6,9,16,18 30:24	,18 34:2 (18)	represent 5:10
6:5,19 7:8 11:24	10.20 20.13,17 (4)	(10)	recording 7:21	represent 5.10
23:8 28:14 (7)	procedure 8:19		8:13,18,20 9:3	request 18:8,12
20.0 20.1 (,)	9:1	quoteunquote	30:19 (6)	24:18,23 (4)
pllc 2:4		8:3	` ′	
1	proceeding 7:22		records 16:22	requested 3:8,12
pm 1:17 5:2,8		raise 5:19	26:19,24	
34:6 (4)	proceedings			resent 7:13
	37:13	read 33:25 35:4	reeder 10:17,20	
point 13:8 15:12	24:10	mondants 12:15	12:13	reserve
16:11 18:17 19:13	process 24:19 27:21	reader's 13:15	regarding 26:10	10:3,8,11,13,16 15:11,13 (7)
26:11 31:5 (7)	27.21	really 17:1,21	30:6	13.11,13 (/)
		100117 11.1,21	30.0	1
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

residence 6:9	rubber 20:4,5	several 20:8	33:16	stayed 24:5
9:10	rules 6:19,24 7:14	sheet 36:1	somebody 26:22	still 12:18 19:8 31:10
respectful 7:17	/:14	sheriff 1:7	somehow 17:23	31.10
respond 13:24	said 8:2 31:13	10:3,8,13,14 (5)	Somenow 17.25	stipulate
26:24	37:9,13 (4)	10,0,0,10,1 / (0)	someone 15:1	33:3,4,18,21 (4)
	, (,	sheriff's 1:88:8	28:3 30:19	
result 28:23	sam 9:20	10:4 11:7 12:18		stipulation 32:5
1, 1, 21, 11	10.15	16:12,17 18:25	something 8:14	33:2,22
resulted 21:11	same 18:15	19:9 27:14 (10)	26:5,22	street 18:22,25
resume 11:25	samuel 1:4 2:18	short 30:14	sorry 6:17 11:16	Street 10.22,23
12:2,9	4:3 5:15 (4)		23:7 32:19 33:12	strike 15:4
	,	shorthand	(5)	
retire 22:10	san 9:13,15	37:6,10	40.45	subject 28:12
	7.00.0.4	1 11 7.15 0.00	space 19:15	
retired 10:15,15 12:20,21 13:9,17	sarcasm 7:20 8:4	should 7:15 8:23	speak 7:8 32:11	such 7:8 35:6
14:2 21:6 22:9,25	saw 25:6	shouldn't 8:16	speak 7.0 32.11	sufficient 33:5
(10)			speaking 30:2	
` ´	say 8:2 11:2,6	show 20:11		suggestive 7:16
retirement	12:4 20:16 21:25		specific	8:3
13:6,7,11 23:6,13	23:16 28:4 29:25	sidebar 8:12 13:1	21:20,22,23	superior 1:1
(5)	(9)	signature 37:20	specifically 7:15	superior 1.1
returned	saying 28:8	signature 57.20	18:24	supplemental
21:17,23,25	, g = 919	signed 33:16,17		19:25
22:2,7,11,14 (7)	says 7:15	35:7	speculation 24:6	
			29:24	suppose 20:16
returning	scheduled 13:7	simply 6:20 8:9 11:20	spend 24:15	sure 8:9 12:12
21:11,21	scope 26:3	11:20	spena 24:13	15:15 16:20 19:2
review 15:7	scope 20.5	since 10:15,16	spent 25:14,23	21:15 23:9 26:10
17:9,18,24 22:16	scott 12:15,16	32:12	26:11,14,14	27:9 31:13 32:20
24:1 27:14	18:9 31:6 (4)		27:8,14 (7)	33:3 (12)
33:5,9,25 (10)	0.1.55	sir 9:8,14 10:2,24	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	7. 40
1 11 0 00	see 8:14,20	11:8,20 12:10	spoke 12:21 18:3	swear 5:18
reviewed 11:9,20 12:2,2,8 (5)	17:9,10 19:14 (5)	13:5,14,15 15:19 16:11,15,18	spoken 11:14	sworn 5:23 37:8
12.2,2,0 (3)	seen 26:23	17:7,19 18:24	Shoron' IIII	5,,, 5,11 5,25 57.0
reviewing		19:12,17,19 20:21	springdale 2:6	system 20:22
24:8,15 25:14	select 17:17	21:20 23:17 25:2		
26:15,17 27:8 (6)	, 10.1	28:10 29:1,9	start 5:13	take 30:14
rickenbacker	service 13:4	30:15 31:17,19,22,25	starts 30:18	taken 15:1 30:22
18:21,22,25	set 17:10,18	32:20,23 (34)	Starts 50.10	32:16 35:5 37:9
20.21,22,23	22:16 23:11 26:25		state 1:1 5:10	(5)
right 5:19 9:2	27:11,17,21	sitting 7:10	6:4,20 7:3 12:12	
10:7 12:7 15:20	28:1,2,5 29:4 (12)		29:19 37:1,16 (9)	talk 7:6 17:24
	1	six 20:14		28:3,22 (4)
19:20 24:13 26:3	10.00		n4 may 20.12	
	seven 13:23	some 27:17 32:2	stay 32:13	team 12:24

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
13:21,25 14:4,6 15:23 16:6 (7)	therefore 21:16	too 27:1,19	28:7 29:2	virus 5:5
, ,	therein 37:10	top 29:17	unless 25:16	visavis 28:1
teams 13:23,23 14:6	thereof 37:14	towards 14:6	unmute 32:2	vs 1:6 4:3
technicality 32:12	thing 22:21 33:22	transcribed 37:11	unsigned 33:19	wait 11:16,16
telephone 18:13	things 8:2 12:1 33:21	transcript 32:12	until 27:11	walking 25:24 26:22
tell 9:24 11:23 13:15 15:5,17	think 18:8 20:24 21:13 24:4 (4)	33:6,9,14,16,19,25 35:4 (8)	up 14:3 15:1 17:21 22:22 30:17 (5)	want 11:17,18 12:12 13:3 15:15 19:2 21:15 26:9
27:7,13 30:16 32:8 (9)	thinking 11:25	true 35:8 37:16 try 9:2 18:23	upon 23:12	27:9 28:1,3,4 29:11 30:3,4
telling 8:3 31:12	thisday 35:10	20:11 33:11 (4)	us 6:24 8:20	32:9,20 33:1 (18)
tenure 14:7	those 11:23 14:12,22 28:18	trying 8:9,9 25:2 27:18 (4)	used 33:19	wanted 17:9
terms 12:8 13:6 14:2 29:10 (4)	33:21 (5)	tull 2:4	using 7:23	wasn't 14:6 20:19 24:8 31:9
testified 5:24	thought 17:22 32:15	turned 21:7	usually 18:7 vague 6:11,15,25	(4) wasting 8:5
25:14 testifying 21:16	through 1:1 15:2	two 13:2 20:19 28:6,8,16,18 (6)	10:21 14:15 16:1 18:1 19:3,22	way 7:16,22 11:9
testimony 22:1	thursday 1:16 4:4 5:2	twoinch 20:12	22:19 28:15 (11)	15:1 17:1 18:7 37:13 (7)
23:2 35:7	time 5:9 8:5	twoman 13:23	various 14:20 vchadick@qgtla	we'll 30:17
than 16:4 22:15 29:7 30:25 (4)	12:21 13:11 14:3,25 16:11 17:13 18:15,17	unavailable 33:18	wcom 2:7	we're 30:23 32:18
thank 9:5,16 12:11 13:4,5 15:14 26:20	19:13 20:25 22:4,6 23:4,6,7,11,15	unaware 23:2,9	very 11:17 13:1,4 26:7 30:14 (5)	we've 6:17
30:20,21 31:12,23,25 32:24	24:14 25:23 26:11,13,14,16	under 26:18 29:22 30:8 35:1,3	via 1:19 5:1,6,12 (4)	weeks 24:14
34:3 (14) thankfully 31:16	27:6,7,14 28:12 31:5,10,24 37:10 (33)	37:11,15,15 (8) understand 7:1,2	vice 7:13 9:22	well 6:15 7:21 8:17 9:2 10:9 15:4,7 18:6
thanks 30:15	title 9:25 13:12	10:23 14:16 21:15 22:20 28:18 31:2	vida 2:11	20:2,15 21:13,19 31:6 (13)
31:18 34:1	14:3	(8)	video 7:23	went 11:25
them 10:12 28:10 33:24	today 6:14 31:24 32:25	understood 31:15	videotaping 8:20	west 2:12
there's 8:17 17:3	today's 5:7 12:7	unintentionally 19:3	villanueva 1:7 4:3	what 6:10 8:12 9:24 10:7,20
thereafter 37:11	told 18:16 25:11 26:7	unique 27:24	vincent 2:4 5:14 9:17	11:3,23 13:16 14:2,7,10,13 15:5

18:20 19:21	without 7:22	11:8,14,22 12:10	5:2,7 35:5,10	
20:3,3 24:20 25:2	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	13:10,14 16:18	37:19 (7)	
28:7,18,19 31:15	witness 3:3,16	18:19 19:12,17,19	57.15 (1)	
32:8 (24)	5:12,17,18 6:18,21	22:10 23:1 29:23	4100 2:5	
	7:1,24	31:17 33:7,25 (19)	1200 210	
whatever 17:21	8:13,18,19,23	01,11, 00,11,20 (1)	11500 2:12	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	10:25 11:14 13:21	you're 26:5	11000 2:12	
when 7:4 8:18,21	14:17 16:3 18:3	,0410 20.0	12516 1:25 4:5	
9:17 11:2,6	19:24 22:21	you've 12:3,8,13	37:5,22 (4)	
12:4,21,23	24:8,24 25:17,21	24:18 25:17 26:3	0110,22 (1)	
16:6,11,19 19:13	28:19 30:10,12	27:1 (7)	72762 2:6	
20:13 21:2,25	31:25	-/ (//	72.02 2.0	
22:22 23:19,21	33:5,7,8,14,25	your 5:19 6:4	90064 2:13	
24:8 25:4,22	37:7 (35)	7:15 8:22 9:24	70001 2 ,13	
29:19 31:2,13,19	5117 (55)	12:9	4445200 2:479	
(26)	witness's 8:5	13:3,6,11,12,16	1110200 2.179	
(20)	Without a contract of the cont	15:23 16:24 17:24	5513400 2:310	
where 6:7 7:3	wood 15:6,18,25	19:2,20 21:9,11		
9:8,9 10:11 13:8	16:7,14,16,23	22:1,16		
15:20 19:10 24:1	17:5,14,17,25	23:2,6,13,16		
(9)	19:14 20:8,13,23	24:5,12,23		
	21:4,10,17,21	25:4,5,12,15 26:7		
whether 12:12	22:2,8,12,17	27:19 29:2,14		,
17:20 25:6	23:4,10,22 24:2,15	30:15 31:24 32:24		
17,20 25,0	27:24 28:24	(38)		
which 7:22 9:19	29:3,8,20 30:6	(30)		
19:10 25:11,22	31:3,20 32:22 (37)	yourself 5:10		
26:17 28:9,24 (8)	51,5,20 52,22 (51)	Journal officer		
2011, 2013,21 (0)	words 16:8	zoom 1:19 5:1,12		
while 25:5 29:21	(10145 10.0	8:21 (4)		
1,2220	work 15:13,13	(,)		
who 8:19 10:17	26:17 27:18 (4)	310 2:310		
12:15 17:24 18:3				
21:1 23:21 24:20	worked 11:4,6	340 30:15		
25:11 29:14 31:4				
(11)	works 29:11	345 30:16		
whoever 22:3	would 14:21	400 2:400		
	16:24 18:9,10,11			
whole 15:2	19:1 20:15	2007 12:24 15:24		
	21:7,10 23:7 24:9	16:6,9,21,24 17:6		
whom 5:10	25:5,10,15,22	18:24 19:10,13		
	26:23,23 29:22	23:5,12 28:25		
why 8:6 15:10,17	31:6 32:7 33:5	31:3 (14)		
17:8,17 (5)	(21)			
	1	2008 10:15 12:24		
wide 27:2	wrap 30:17	13:7,18 15:11		
		16:7,9 21:7,12,18		
will 5:9,18,19 6:4	years 7:9	22:8,12 (12)		
7:2,7 9:2 26:25				
27:22 32:2,5	yep 13:6	2010 15:9,18		
33:9,10,13 (14)		1		
	yes 10:2,6	2021 1:16 4:4		
	·		· ·	