1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
2	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
3		
4	DEPARTMENT 85 HON. JAMES C. CHALFANT, JUDGE	
5		
6	SAMUEL A. PERRONI,)	
7	PLAINTIFF,	
8	VS.)) NO. BS159430	
9	MARK A. FAJARDO, ET AL.,	
10	RESPONDENT.)	
11		
12		
13		
14	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	
15	THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 10, 2016	
16		
17		
18		
19	FOR PETITIONER: SAMUEL A. PERRONI, IN PRO PER	
20	FOR RESPONDENT: DANIEL P. BARER, ATTORNEY AT LAW	
21	ANNA L. BIRENBAUM, ATTORNEY AT LAW	
22 23		
23 24		
25	DIANA L. SOLIS, CSR 9715	
25 26	OFFICIAL REPORTER	
27	111 NORTH HILL STREET	
28	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012	

1	INDEX
2	
3	INDEX OF WITNESSES
4	
5	(NONE)
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	INDEX OF EXHIBITS
13	
14	(NONE OFFERED)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	CACE NUMBER.	DC1E0420
1		BS159430
2	CASE NAME:	SAMUEL PERRONI V. MARK FAJARDO
3	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA	THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 10, 2016
4	DEPARTMENT 85	HON. JAMES C. CHALFANT, JUDGE
5	REPORTER:	DIANA L. SOLIS, CSR 9715
6	TIME:	1:46, P.M. SESSION
7	APPEARANCES:	(AS HERETOFORE NOTED.)
8		
9	_	00000
10		
11	THE COURT: OKAY	. THIS IS PERRONI VERSUS
12	FAJARDO, AFTERNOON SESSIO	N.
13	THE RECORD	SHOULD REFLECT MR. PERRONI IS
14	PRESENT WITH THE SERVICES	OF THE COURT REPORTER.
15	OPPOSING CO	OUNSEL ARE PRESENT.
16	SO I HAVE I	REVIEWED THIS MORNING I
17	REVIEWED THE 1981 INVESTI	GATORY FILE. I ORDERED
18	DISCLOSURE OF ONLY ONE DO	CUMENT, A MAP OF CATALINA.
19	AND THIS A	FTERNOON, I REVIEWED, I GUESS,
20	THREE DIFFERENT DOCUMENTS	. DETECTIVE HERNANDEZ
21	INDICATED THAT THE THREE	DOCUMENTS WERE IN THE
22	INVESTIGATORY FILE. THEY	WERE CREATED IN THE 1981
23	INVESTIGATION OR THEREABO	OUTS, BUT THEY WERE NOT IN THE
24	FILE WHEN IT WAS TURNED O	VER TO THE SHERIFF IN 2011 AND
25	WHEN THE INVESTIGATION WA	S REOPENED.
26	DETECTIVE	HERNANDEZ HAS AUTHORIZED ME TO
27	INDICATE THAT TWO OF THE	DOCUMENTS CONSIST OF A
28	PAUL MILLER REPORT AND CE	RTAIN LETTERS WHICH HE BELIEVES

```
1
    HAVE ALREADY BEEN DISCLOSED TO MR. PERRONI.
 2
                   MR. PERRONI, DO YOU HAVE THESE LETTERS
 3
    AND THE PAUL MILLER REPORT?
 4
             MR. PERRONI: AS A PART OF THE SETTLEMENT WITH
    THE CORONER'S OFFICE, I HAVE THAT REPORT.
 5
 6
             THE COURT: AND THE LETTERS?
 7
             MR. PERRONI: I HAVE SOME LETTERS. I'M NOT --
    I DON'T KNOW IF IT'S THE LETTERS THAT YOU'RE LOOKING AT
8
9
    OR NOT.
10
             THE COURT: DO I HAVE YOUR PERMISSION,
    DETECTIVE HERNANDEZ, AND COUNSEL, TO GIVE THE DATES OF
11
12
    THE LETTER AND THE AUTHOR OF THE LETTER?
13
             DETECTIVE HERNANDEZ: YES, YOUR HONOR.
             MR. BARER: YES, YOUR HONOR.
14
15
             MS. BIRENBAUM: YES, YOUR HONOR.
             THE COURT: THERE'S A DECEMBER 1, 1981, LETTER
16
17
    FROM ROBERT WAGNER TO THE CHIEF MEDICAL
18
    EXAMINER/CORONER; THERE IS A JANUARY 8TH, 1982, LETTER
19
    TO DR. THOMAS NOGUCHI FROM AN ATTORNEY -- ACTUALLY,
20
    FROM, I THINK IT'S AN ATTORNEY AT GIBSON DUNN; THERE IS
21
    A LETTERS TESTAMENTARY FILED IN COURT WITH A FILE STAMP
22
    OF JANUARY 6, 1982; THERE IS A LETTER TO THE CORONER'S
23
    OFFICE FROM ROBERT WAGNER DATED JANUARY 13TH, 1982; AND
24
    THAT'S IT.
25
             MR. PERRONI: YOUR HONOR, I THINK THAT I HAVE,
2.6
    AS A PART OF THE AGREEMENT WITH THE RESPONDENT, THE
27
    CORONER'S RESPONSES. I HAVE THOSE.
```

THE COURT: OKAY. SO THE ONLY OTHER THING THAT

- WAS GIVEN TO ME -- I WOULDN'T DESCRIBE IT AS PART OF -
 IT'S INCLUDED IN THE INVESTIGATORY FILE, THESE ARE

 PHOTOGRAPHS OF THE BODY, AND THEREFORE, NOT DISCLOSABLE.

 SO THAT'S IT.

 I HAVE ORDERED THE REPORTER'S NOTES TO

 BE SEALED, AND THE MORNING COURT REPORTER, BUFORD, HE
 - BE SEALED, AND THE MORNING COURT REPORTER, BUFORD, HE WILL BE INFORMED THAT HIS NOTES ARE ORDERED SEALED AND NO TRANSCRIPT MAY BE PREPARED FROM THE NOTES WITHOUT FURTHER ORDER OF THE COURT.

I'M GOING TO GIVE BACK TO

DETECTIVE HERNANDEZ, AS CUSTODIAN, THE DOCUMENTS THAT I

REVIEWED THIS AFTERNOON. ALL DOCUMENTS HAVE BEEN

REVIEWED AND RETURNED TO HIM.

THAT TAKES CARE OF MY IN CAMERA REVIEW.

DETECTIVE HERNANDEZ'S DEPOSITION, YOU WISH MORE, IF YOU WISH MORE, MR. PERRONI, SPECIFICALLY ANOTHER IN CAMERA REVIEW, YOU WILL HAVE TO BRIEF THAT AND IT MUST BE BASED ON NEW EVIDENCE, THAT IS, THE DEPOSITION. WE'RE NOT GOING TO BACKTRACK AND REARGUE OLD ISSUES. SO IF SOMETHING NEW IN THE DEPOSITION JUSTIFIES IN CAMERA REVIEW OF THE 2011 FILE, YOU ARE FREE TO MAKE THAT ARGUMENT.

MR. PERRONI: THERE'S NOTHING NEW IN WHAT I DEPOSED DETECTIVE HERNANDEZ ABOUT THAT WOULD HAVE ANY BEARING, IN MY MIND, ON 2011 AND THEREAFTER.

THE REASON WHY I FILED MY REQUEST WAS

BECAUSE I WASN'T CLEAR -- MAYBE YOU SAID IT IN YOUR

```
1 TENTATIVE RULING AND I JUST DIDN'T GET IT, BUT I WASN'T
```

- 2 | CLEAR WHETHER OR NOT YOU HAD DENIED MY REQUEST TO REVIEW
- 3 | THE 2011 RECORDS BASED ON MY ABILITY TO TAKE DETECTIVE
- 4 | HERNANDEZ'S DEPOSITION OR THAT YOU JUST DENIED THAT AND
- 5 WE WERE JUST TALKING ABOUT THE REST OF 1981. AND SO I
- 6 JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE THAT I -- I MEAN, IF YOU'VE
- 7 | ALREADY RULED ON IT, I WASN'T REALLY TRYING TO REARGUE
- 8 IT OR REHASH IT.
- 9 THE COURT: NO. THE PROBLEM WITH THESE -- WITH
- 10 | FUTURE DATES, I DON'T REMEMBER WHAT MY RULING WAS, AND
- 11 | SO I'M GOING ASK COUNSEL. WHAT, IF ANYTHING, DID I SAY
- 12 ABOUT THE REVIEW OF THE 2011 INVESTIGATION IN CAMERA?
- MR. BARER: YOUR HONOR, MY RECOLLECTION IS THAT
- 14 YOU DID NOT ADDRESS 2011 DOCUMENTS BEING REVIEWED. THE
- 15 ONLY DOCUMENTS THAT YOU WERE -- THAT WERE ORDERED
- 16 REVIEWED WERE THE 1981 FILES, BECAUSE THOSE WERE THE
- 17 ONLY ONES OF WHICH THERE WAS EVIDENCE THAT PORTIONS OF
- 18 | THEM HAD BEEN ACCESSED BY MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC.
- 19 THE COURT: AH. SO IT WAS THE AUTHORS AND WHAT
- 20 | THEY HAD ACCESS TO THAT TRIGGERED THE IN CAMERA REVIEW.
- 21 MR. BARER: THAT IS MY RECOLLECTION,
- 22 YOUR HONOR.
- THE COURT: AND NONE OF THEM HAD ACCESS TO THE
- 24 | REOPENED FILES.
- MR. BARER: CORRECT, YOUR HONOR.
- 26 THE COURT: OKAY. WELL, IF THAT WAS MY RULING,
- 27 | THAT WAS MY RULING.
- 28 MR. PERRONI: THAT'S NOT MY RECOLLECTION.

THE COURT: OKAY. WELL, YOU'RE FREE TO -- IF
YOU -- I DON'T RECALL RIGHT NOW. AND SO -- BUT YOU HAVE
A REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT, I'M CONFIDENT, OF WHAT I SAID,
OF WHAT MY RULING WAS.

MR. PERRONI: YES.

THE COURT: IF YOU FEEL THAT THERE IS SOME WIGGLE ROOM LEFT FOR ME TO REVIEW THE 2011 FILE IN CAMERA, YOU ARE FREE TO MAKE A MOTION. BUT I'M NOT GOING TO DECIDE IT NOW, BECAUSE I DO NOT RECALL WHAT MY RULING WAS, AND I'M GOING TO HAVE TO HAVE THE PARTIES BRIEF IT IF THAT'S WHAT YOU WANT.

MR. PERRONI: YOUR HONOR, MY MEMORY IS, BASED
ON WHAT I READ IN THE TRANSCRIPT, IS THAT THAT WAS STILL
UP IN THE AIR.

THE COURT: OKAY. IF IT IS, IT IS.

MR. PERRONI: THERE'S NOTHING IN THE TRANSCRIPT WHERE YOU RULED THAT YOU WOULD NOT LOOK AT THE 2011 RECORDS IN CAMERA. NOW, IF I'M MISTAKEN ABOUT THAT, I DON'T WANT TO -- I'M NOT TRYING TO REHASH IT, GO OVER IT, ASK YOU TO RENEW IT. I JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE THE RECORD IS CLEAR, BECAUSE DOWN THE ROAD, I DON'T WANT SOMEBODY SAYING, YOU DIDN'T ASK FOR AN IN CAMERA REVIEW.

THE COURT: SO HERE'S WHAT I'M GOING TO DO: I

NEED TO ENTER A JUDGMENT. SO I'M GOING TO SET AN

OSC RE JUDGMENT FOR LATE JANUARY. JANUARY 24TH AT 1:30.

IF YOU BELIEVE, AS YOU APPARENTLY DO,

THAT IN CAMERA REVIEW OF THE 2011 REOPENED INVESTIGATORY

FILE IS AVAILABLE, YOU MAY MAKE A MOTION FOR IT BASED

```
1
    EITHER ON NEW EVIDENCE -- AND APPARENTLY, THERE ISN'T
    ANY NEW EVIDENCE -- OR BASED ON THE HANGING CHAD OF IT
 2
    BEING AN UNDECIDED ISSUE. THAT NOTICED MOTION WOULD BE,
 3
 4
    BASED ON NOTICE UNDER CCP 1005, MEANING 16 COURT DAYS, 9
    COURT DAYS, 5 COURT DAYS -- YOU'RE FAMILIAR WITH THE
 5
 6
    TIMING OF NOTICED MOTIONS?
 7
             MR. PERRONI: I'D LIKE TO SAY I'M FAMILIAR WITH
8
    IT, AND MAYBE I'VE CROSSED PATHS WITH IT IN THIS
9
    PROCEEDING, BUT I'M GOING TO HAVE TO GO BACK AND READ
10
    IT.
11
             THE COURT: I'M TELLING YOU, IT'S 16 COURT
12
    DAYS, 9 COURT DAYS, 5 COURT DAYS. HERE'S WHAT I'M
    EXPECTING. ON -- WHAT DID I SAY? -- ON JANUARY 24TH?
13
14
            MR. BARER: YES.
15
            MS. BIRENBAUM: YES.
             THE COURT: ON JANUARY 24TH, I'M GOING TO BE
16
17
    PREPARED TO SIGN A JUDGMENT. I'M GOING TO ASK THE
18
    DEPARTMENT TO PREPARE A JUDGMENT TO MR. PERRONI FOR THAT
19
    DATE. IF MR. PERRONI MAKES A MOTION FOR IN CAMERA
    REVIEW OF THE INVESTIGATORY FILE, I'M GOING TO DIRECT
20
21
   DETECTIVE HERNANDEZ TO BRING THAT FILE TO THE
22
    JANUARY 24TH HEARING. IF THE MOTION IS GRANTED,
23
    HOPEFULLY, I'LL BE ABLE TO CONDUCT THE IN CAMERA HEARING
24
    THEN AND THERE BEFORE ENTERING JUDGMENT. IN FACT, NOW
25
    THAT I THINK ABOUT IT, THIS BETTER BE A MORNING
26
    APPEARANCE. SO LET'S MAKE IT JANUARY 26 AT 9:30 IN THE
27
   MORNING. THAT WAY, IF THIS SPILLS OVER INTO THE
28
   AFTERNOON, WE CAN STILL FINISH.
```

1 MR. PERRONI: SO JANUARY THE 26TH. 2 THE COURT: AT 9:30. SO I'LL TAKE THE BENCH PREPARED TO SIGN A 3 4 JUDGMENT, AND MR. PERRONI WILL SAY, NOT SO FAST JUDGE, I'VE MADE A MOTION, AND, OF COURSE, I WILL READ THE 5 6 MOTION AND WILL BE PREPARED TO RULE ON IT, AND IF I GRANT IT, THEN I WILL CONDUCT THE SUBSEQUENT -- THE 2011 7 IN CAMERA REVIEW THEN AND THERE. I WILL ORDER DISCLOSED 8 9 ANY NONINVESTIGATORY MATERIALS, AND THEN I WILL SIGN THE 10 JUDGMENT. 11 MR. PERRONI: NOW, CAN I SAY SOMETHING HERE? 12 THE COURT: YOU MAY. 13 MR. PERRONI: YOU JUST SAID THAT I COULD SAY TO YOU, NOT SO FAST. WELL, I'VE GOT SOMETHING I WANT TO 14 15 DISCUSS WITH YOU CONCERNING WAIVER AFTER TAKING 16 DETECTIVE HERNANDEZ'S DEPOSITION. NOW, DO YOU WANT ME 17 TO DO THAT NOW OR --18 THE COURT: IS THERE SOMETHING IN DETECTIVE 19 HERNANDEZ'S DEPOSITION THAT YOU CONTEND BEARS ON WAIVER? 20 MR. PERRONI: YES, SIR. THE COURT: OKAY. SO YOU MAY BRIEF THAT AT THE 21 22 SAME TIME AS YOUR HANGING CHAD OF THE INVESTIGATORY 23 FILE. MR. PERRONI: HANGING CHAD. YOU KNOW WE DIDN'T 24 25 HAVE TO WORRY ABOUT THAT IN FLORIDA THIS YEAR. 2.6 THE COURT: NO. WE DIDN'T. 27 MR. PERRONI: I WAS THINKING WE MIGHT HAVE TO. 28 I WAS WONDERING IF THEY HAD TAKEN CARE OF THAT CHAD

```
1
   PROBLEM.
 2
             THE COURT: THEY HAVE. IT'S NOW AN ELECTRONIC
 3
    CHAD.
 4
            MR. PERRONI: WHAT YOU'RE SAYING IS I CAN PUT
 5
    THAT IN A PLEADING TO YOU?
             THE COURT: NOT A PLEADING. A MOTION.
 6
 7
             MR. PERRONI: A MOTION.
             THE COURT: THE SAME MOTION YOU'RE GOING TO
8
9
    MAKE ON THE CHAD.
10
            MR. PERRONI: GOT YOU. IN CAMERA, WAIVER.
            THE COURT: RIGHT.
11
12
            MR. PERRONI: I GOT IT.
13
             THE COURT: OKAY. AND THE WAIVER IS NOT JUST
    2011, IT WOULD BE -- WELL, ACTUALLY, IT WOULD HAVE TO BE
14
15
    1981, BECAUSE IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING THERE WERE NO
16
   DISCLOSURES AFTER 2011.
17
            MR. PERRONI: RIGHT. IT'S JUST ON 1981.
18
             THE COURT: RIGHT.
                  OKAY. WE ALL CLEAR?
19
20
            MR. BARER: YES, YOUR HONOR. ON THE JUDGMENT,
   SHOULD WE FOLLOW THE SAME -- SAME PROCEDURE AS IN THE
21
22
    CASE WE HANDLED LAST WEEK, THAT WE GIVE IT TO
23
   MR. PERRONI 10 DAYS BEFORE GIVING IT TO THE COURT FOR
24
   HIS OBJECTIONS?
25
             THE COURT: OR MORE. YEAH.
26
            MR. BARER: OKAY.
27
            MR. PERRONI: THEY'RE GOING TO PREPARE THE
28
   JUDGMENT.
```

THE COURT: THEY ARE. AND YOU'RE GOING TO LOOK
AT IT AND SEE IF IT'S OKAY, OBJECT TO IT OR NOT, AND
YOU'RE GOING TO MEET AND CONFER.

THE JUDGMENT IS JUST CONSISTENT WITH MY
RULING, AND THAT'S ALL IT IS, AND IT DOESN'T ATTACH ANY
DECISIONS. IT DOESN'T INCORPORATE BY REFERENCE. IT'S
JUST, WE WIN AND WE GET COSTS. THAT'S BASICALLY WHAT IT
SAYS.

MR. PERRONI: ALL RIGHT.

SO NOW, DON'T GET ME WRONG, IT'S NOT THAT I'M ARGUING TO DO THIS, BUT SINCE I PREVAILED ON THIS ONE DOCUMENT, PLUS THE 241 DOCUMENTS AND THE 32 PHOTOGRAPHS THAT THEY GAVE ME AFTER I FILED SUIT, YOU STILL WANT THEM TO DO THE JUDGMENT?

THE COURT: DOESN'T MATTER WHO PREPARES IT.

IF YOUR ARGUMENT IS YOU'RE THE PREVAILING PARTY AND YOU WANT TO MAKE A MOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES, THEN WHAT YOU DO -- AND YOU BELIEVE YOU'RE ENTITLED TO COSTS -- THEN YOU EACH FILE YOUR OWN MEMORANDUM OF COSTS AFTER THE JUDGMENT, YOU EACH MAKE YOUR OWN MOTION FOR ATTORNEY FEES, IF YOU WANT TO, AS THE PARTY ENTITLED TO AN AWARD OF ATTORNEY'S FEES.

MR. PERRONI: I'M GOOD WITH THAT.

THE COURT: SO THE JUDGMENT, YOU KNOW, WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO FINESSE IT. IF BOTH SIDES THINK THEY'RE THE WINNERS, THEN THE JUDGMENT SHOULD JUST SAY, THE COURT ORDERED THIS OR THE COURT ORDERED THAT. SO IT'S NOT GOING TO SAY, WE WIN.

```
MR. BARER: I THINK WE COULD SAY THAT WE
1
 2
    PREVAILED AS TO X AND MR. PERRONI PREVAILED AS TO Y.
 3
             THE COURT: YOU COULD DO THAT.
 4
             MR. BARER: DOESN'T REALLY MAKE A DIFFERENCE AS
 5
    TO COSTS UNDER THE STATUTE, BECAUSE HE GETS COSTS EITHER
 6
    WAY.
 7
             THE COURT: OKAY.
8
             MR. PERRONI: AS WELL AS HIS -- SEE, THAT WAS
9
    ONE OF THE THINGS THAT I WAS ASKING YOU, JUDGE, AND
    MAYBE IT'S PREMATURE. IF IT IS, THAT'S OKAY. YOU TELL
10
   ME IT'S PREMATURE. BUT AFTER I FILED THIS LAWSUIT, AS A
11
12
    RESULT OF FILING THE LAWSUIT, BASED ON WAIVER, THEY GAVE
13
    ME 241 DOCUMENTS AND 32 PHOTOGRAPHS.
14
             THE COURT: RIGHT. SO YOU'RE ARGUING THAT YOU
15
    WERE THE CATALYST FOR DISCLOSURE, AND THEREFORE, WERE
16
    THE PREVAILING PARTY FOR ATTORNEY FEES. I GOT IT.
17
    THAT'S PART OF YOUR MOTION, AND YES, IT'S PREMATURE.
            MR. PERRONI: OKAY. THAT'S PART OF THE MOTION,
18
19
    AND HERE, TODAY, YOU RULED THERE WAS ONE MORE DOCUMENT
20
    THAT I WAS ENTITLED TO.
21
             THE COURT: A MAP.
22
             MR. PERRONI: IT MAY NOT LOOK LIKE MUCH TO YOU,
   BUT IT MIGHT BE SIGNIFICANT TO ME.
23
24
             THE COURT: OKAY.
25
             MR. PERRONI: OKAY.
             THE COURT: YES. SO THAT'S ALL POST JUDGMENT
26
27
    STUFF.
```

MR. PERRONI: ALL RIGHT. NOW, I GOT ONE OTHER

- 1 | THING I WANT TO ASK YOU. AND AGAIN, I WANT -- WITH
- 2 | EXCEPTION, TODAY OR YESTERDAY I FILED AN OBJECTION TO
- 3 DETECTIVE HERNANDEZ'S DECLARATION IN THE TRIAL BRIEF OF
- 4 | THE RESPONDENTS BASED ON THE DEPOSITION.
- 5 THE COURT: CAN'T DO THAT. CAN'T DO THAT. TOO
- 6 LATE. I'VE MADE MY RULING BASED ON THE EVIDENCE BEFORE
- 7 ME. YOU CAN'T GO BACK AND ATTACK THAT EVIDENCE NOW.
- 8 MR. PERRONI: WELL, BUT LET ME REMIND YOU OF
- 9 | SOMETHING: YOU ALLOWED ME TO REOPEN MY CASE TO TAKE
- 10 DETECTIVE HERNANDEZ'S DEPOSITION.
- 11 THE COURT: I WOULDN'T CALL IT REOPENING, BUT
- 12 | NO, YOU MAY NOT -- YOUR OBJECTIONS ARE OVERRULED AS
- 13 UNTIMELY.
- 14 MR. PERRONI: OKAY. SO THE OBJECTION THAT I
- 15 | FILED YESTERDAY, BASED ON THE DEPOSITION I TOOK THE DAY
- 16 | BEFORE, IS OVERRULED.
- 17 THE COURT: WE ARE LIKE A SHARK IN THIS
- 18 COURTROOM. WE ONLY MOVE FORWARD. WE NEVER BACK UP.
- 19 MR. PERRONI: JUDGE, I'M NOT ASKING TO GO BACK,
- 20 BUT WITH ALL DUE RESPECT, IN THE TRANSCRIPT --
- 21 THE COURT: YOU KNOW WHAT "WITH ALL DUE
- 22 | RESPECT" MEANS?
- MR. PERRONI: I DO SAY THIS WITH ALL DUE
- 24 RESPECT: IN THE TRANSCRIPT, YOU SAID YOU WERE ALLOWING
- 25 ME TO REOPEN MY CASE FOR THE PURPOSE OF TAKING DETECTIVE
- 26 | HERNANDEZ'S DEPOSITION.
- THE COURT: OKAY.
- 28 MR. PERRONI: I DID THAT.

1 THE COURT: OKAY. 2 MR. PERRONI: BASED ON THAT, I'M FILING AN OBJECTION TO THE DEPOSITION. 3 4 THE COURT: AND I'M OVERRULING THAT AS 5 UNTIMELY. THAT DOESN'T MEAN YOU CAN'T POINT OUT THAT 6 HIS DECLARATION IS INCONSISTENT WITH HIS TESTIMONY, AND 7 THAT HIS TESTIMONY SHOULD PREVAIL. YOU CAN CERTAINLY POINT THAT OUT, I AM NOT GOING TO RULE ON EVIDENTIARY 8 9 OBJECTIONS MADE TWO MONTHS AFTER I CONSIDERED THE EVIDENCE AND MADE A RULING AT TRIAL. 10 MR. PERRONI: THAT'S ALL RIGHT. I GOT A 11 12 RULING. THAT'S OKAY. 13 THE COURT: OKAY. MR. PERRONI: NOW, LET ME ASK YOU ONE OTHER 14 15 THING. ON THE PREVIOUS OBJECTIONS, IN A FOOTNOTE IN 16 YOUR TENTATIVE DECISION, YOU SAID THAT YOU UNDERLINED 17 THOSE THINGS WHICH YOU WERE SUSTAINING AN OBJECTION TO, 18 AND SO THEREFORE, ARE WE -- ARE YOU SAYING THAT IF IT WASN'T -- IF IT WAS OBJECTED TO AND IT'S NOT UNDERLINED, 19 20 THEN IT WAS OVERRULED? 21 THE COURT: I DON'T BELIEVE I USED THE WORD 22 "UNDERLINED." THAT'S NOT WHAT I DO. 23 MR. PERRONI: WELL, OKAY. THE COURT: NO. I INTERLINEATE. THAT MEANS 24 STRIKING OUT. I DIDN'T UNDERLINE IT. 25 26 MR. PERRONI: OKAY. SCRATCH IT OUT. ALL

RIGHT. WELL, IF YOU WOULD HAVE SAID "SCRATCH IT OUT"

27

28

YOU SEE --

```
1
             THE COURT: THAT MUST BE AN ARKANSAS TERM.
 2
            MR. PERRONI: I WOULD HAVE UNDERSTOOD IT
 3
    BETTER.
 4
             THE COURT: HERE WE SAY "INTERLINEATE."
 5
             MR. PERRONI: SO IF IT'S NOT SCRATCHED OUT,
 6
    THEN IT'S OVERRULED.
             THE COURT: THAT IS TRUE. WELL, LET ME ANSWER
 7
    THAT I RULED ON THE OBJECTIONS. I CHECKED "SUSTAINED"
8
9
    OR "OVERRULED," BUT THEN I ALSO STRUCK OUT -- IF YOU
10
    LIKE THAT -- THE ORIGINAL EVIDENCE WHEN I SUSTAINED AN
    OBJECTION. I DID BOTH.
11
12
             MR. PERRONI: SUSTAINED THE OBJECTION.
13
             THE COURT: BUT I DON'T WANT YOU TO THINK,
    WELL, IF IT'S NOT SCRATCHED OUT, HOW DO I KNOW HE RULED
14
15
    ON IT. I RULE ON OBJECTIONS, AND THEN I STRIKE OUT
16
    EVIDENCE WHERE THE OBJECTION HAS BEEN SUSTAINED.
17
            MR. PERRONI: OKAY. WELL, SEE, HERE'S MY
18
    PROBLEM: I'VE BEEN TRYING TO FIND OUT, EVER SINCE THE
19
    LAST HEARING, WHAT YOUR RULINGS WERE.
20
             THE COURT: YOU HAVE TO LOOK AT THE FILE.
21
             MR. PERRONI: WHEN WE GO TO THE CLERK'S OFFICE,
22
    THEY SAY, WELL, THE APPENDIX IS UPSTAIRS, YOU CAN'T GET
23
    BLAH, BLAH, BLAH, ALL THIS STUFF.
24
             THE COURT: THAT'S A SHELL GAME. I'M NOT
25
    INVOLVED IN THAT.
             MR. PERRONI: I'M STILL IN THE DARK. THAT'S
26
27
    ALL.
```

THE COURT: IT SHOULD BE IN THE FILE, AND ALSO,

```
BY THE WAY, WOULD BE ON THE COURT'S COMPUTER. SO IF
1
 2
    YOU'RE WILLING TO PAY THE PRICE TO HAVE ACCESS TO
    DOCUMENTS THAT HAVE BEEN SCANNED, THAT SHOULD BE IN THE
 3
 4
    COURT'S COMPUTER.
 5
            MR. PERRONI: OKAY. SO WE SHOULD BE ABLE TO
 6
    FIND AN ORDER SOMEPLACE?
 7
            THE COURT: I'M SURE THERE WAS A PROPOSED
8
   ORDER.
9
                  WHO MADE THE OBJECTIONS, YOU OR THEM?
            MR. BARER: BOTH SIDES, YOUR HONOR.
10
             THE COURT: OKAY.
11
12
             MR. PERRONI: I MADE OBJECTIONS AND HE MADE
13
   OBJECTIONS.
14
             THE COURT: SO IF YOU SUBMITTED A PROPOSED
15
   ORDER --
16
            MR. PERRONI: WE DID.
17
             THE COURT: -- WITH BOXES TO BE CHECKED
18
    "SUSTAINED" OR "OVERRULED" --
19
             MR. PERRONI: WE DID.
20
             THE COURT: I CHECKED THOSE BOXES.
             MR. PERRONI: OKAY. WE JUST CAN'T FIND THEM.
21
22
    THAT'S ALL.
23
             THE COURT: OKAY. THEY'LL BE ON THE COURT'S
24
    COMPUTER, AND THEY SHOULD ALSO BE IN THE FILE, WHICH IS
25
    RIGHT HERE.
            MR. PERRONI: NO. THAT'S GOOD. I'M JUST
2.6
27
   TRYING TO GET THAT DONE.
```

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

1	ANYTHING ELSE TODAY?
2	MR. BARER: THE ONLY OTHER THING, YOUR HONOR,
3	IS DURING THE LUNCH BREAK, DETECTIVE HERNANDEZ MADE A
4	PHOTOCOPY OF THAT ONE MAP THAT YOUR HONOR ORDERED
5	PRODUCED, AND I WOULD JUST LIKE THE RECORD TO REFLECT
6	THAT I AM PRODUCING IT TO MR. PERRONI RIGHT NOW.
7	THE COURT: OKAY. ALL RIGHT.
8	WE'RE DONE. WE'RE IN RECESS.
9	MR. BARER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
10	DETECTIVE HERNANDEZ: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
11	THE COURT: I GUESS I MADE A RULING.
12	IS NOTICE WAIVED?
13	MR. BARER: NOTICE IS WAIVED, YOUR HONOR.
14	THE COURT: MR. PERRONI?
15	MR. PERRONI: YES, YOUR HONOR. I'M SORRY.
16	NOTICE IS WAIVED.
17	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.
18	MR. PERRONI: AND THANK YOU.
19	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.
20	
21	(WHEREUPON, THE PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED
22	AT 2:08 P.M.)
23	
24	00000
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
2	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
3			
4	DEPARTMENT 85 HON. JAMES C. CHALFANT, JUDGE		
5			
6	SAMUEL A. PERRONI,)		
7) SUPERIOR) COURT NO.		
8	PETITIONER,) BS159430)		
9	VS.)		
10	MARK A. FAJARDO, ET AL.,) REPORTER'S) CERTIFICATE		
11	RESPONDENT.)		
12			
13	I, DIANA L. SOLIS, OFFICIAL REPORTER OF THE		
14	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, FOR THE		
15	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE		
16	FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT, PAGES 1 THROUGH 15, COMPRISE A		
17	FULL, TRUE, AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS		
18	REPORTED BY ME, TO THE BEST OF MY ABILITIES, IN THE		
19	MATTER OF THE ABOVE-ENTITLED CAUSE ON THURSDAY,		
20	NOVEMBER 10, 2016.		
21	DATED THIS 10TH DAY OF JANUARY, 2017.		
22			
23			
24	DIANA L. SOLIS, CSR 9715 OFFICIAL REPORTER		
25			
26			
27			
28			